Stanford University Press Stanford, California

English translation ©2013 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. All rights reserved.

The Truth of the Technological World was originally published in German in 2013 under the title Die Wahrheit der technischen We ©Suhrkamp Verlag Berlin 2013.

This book has been published with the assistance



of the Hubert Burda Stiftung.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system without the prior written permission of Stanford University Press.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kittler, Friedrich A., author.

[Wahrheit der technischen Welt. English]

The truth of the technological world: essays on the genealogy of presence / Friedrich A. Kittler; [edited and] with an afterwork by Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht; translated by Erik Butler

p. cm.

"Originally published in German in 2013 under the title Die Wahrheit der technischen Welt."

A collection of twenty-three essays which appeared between 1978 and 2010.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-8047-9068-0 (cloth: alk. paper) —

ISBN 978-0-8047-9254-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Communication and technology—Philosophy. 2. Literature—History and criticism. 3. Communication—Philosophy. 4. Technology—Philosophy. I. Gumbrecht, Hans Ulrich, editor of compilation. II. Title.

P96.T42K584 2014

302.23—dc23

20140100

ISBN 978-0-8047-9262-2 (electronic)

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free, archival-quality paper.

Typeset at Stanford University Press in 10/14 Minion.

# The TRUTH of the TECHNOLOGICAL WORLD

Essays on the Genealogy of Presence

## FRIEDRICH A. KITTLER

With an Afterword by Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht Translated by Erik Butler

STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS Stanford, California

### **Contents**

- 1. Poet, Mother, Child: On the Romantic Invention of Sexuality
- 2. Nietzsche (1844–1900)
- 3. Lullaby of Birdland
- 4. The God of the Ears
- 5. Flechsig, Schreber, Freud: An Information Network at the Turn of the Century
- 6. Romanticism, Psychoanalysis, Film: A Story of Doubles
- 7. Media and Drugs in Pynchon's Second World War
- 8. Heinrich von Ofterdingen as Data Feed
- 9. World-Breath: On Wagner's Media Technology
- 10. The City Is a Medium
- 11. Rock Music: A Misuse of Military Equipment
- 12. Signal-to-Noise Ratio
- 13. The Artificial Intelligence of World War: Alan Turing
- 14. Unconditional Surrender
- 15. Protected Mode
- 16. There Is No Software
- 17. Il fiore delle truppe scelte
- 18. Eros and Aphrodite
- 19. Homer and Writing
- 20. The Alphabet of the Greeks: On the Archeology of Writing
- 21. In the Wake of the *Odyssey*
- 22. Martin Heidegger, Media, and the Gods of Greece: De-severance Heralds the Approach of the Gods
- 23. Pathos and Ethos: An Aristotelian Observation
- 24. Media History as the Event of Truth: On the Singularity of Friedrich A. Kittler's Works—An Afterword by Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht

**Notes** 

**Credits** 

# Poet, Mother, Child: On the Romantic Invention of Sexuality

The Middle Ages had something called the *Clan*. Since the eighteenth century, the code for kinsh has been called the *Family*. Clans were connected by the law of exogamy, which linked them are inscribed scions along the axes of generations and races [*Geschlechter*]. Families, on the other ham introject norms and imagoes into offspring, thereby subverting binary sexual different [*Geschlechterdifferenz*] and generating souls sexualized by incestuous desire.<sup>1</sup>

When Parzival is born, Wolfram von Eschenbach simply mentions that his mother and her ladie in-waiting spread the legs of the infant. When they discern the *visselîn* (which translates into today English as "willie"), they lavish affection on the child. Coded in terms of sex, the boy receives phallic attribute that symbolically couples desire and power: now he is destined for exogamoralliances and knightly adventures. The clan is governed by the metaphor *visselîn* = *swert* ["sword"], figure running this way and that—which Freud took up to his own ends and confused with natural factors.

Instead of promoting the play of metaphor, Herzeloyde, out of love and fear, clothes the adventuresome boy in a fool's garb, so that its worldly echoes may bring him back to her. She do so to no avail, however, for an *ars amandi* and law that are one and the same remove Parzival from the double bond with his mother. Condwiramurs (whose name says what it means—"to conduct love initiates him into strictly exogamous eroticism—and as *amor de lonh* ("love from afar") at the Taking the place of Parzival's father, old Gurnemanz prohibits the youth from appealing to childhood and motherly words at all, in order to inscribe him into the axis of succeeding generations. Finally, the boy's uncle on his mother's side—who (as in other cultures) wields greater symbolic power than biological father precisely because he is not the child's actual sire—articulates, in the capacity father confessor, debts of blood to relatives [*Verwandtenblutschuld*] and, as a genealogist, the alliances between two clans. Parzival's innocence [*Tumbheit*] ends when the symbolic order, which Herzeloyde has kept silent, is voiced. And because Trevrizent tells Parzival of his expectant mother dreams, which she never revealed to her son, there is no unspoken remainder that might haunt there and open the way for psychology or psychoanalysis. The incestuous double bond vanish without consequence.

The code governing the conjugal, nuclear family—which emerged in the seventeenth and eighteen centuries in the intellectual bourgeoisie and became universal in the nineteenth—stands opposed the code of the clan on every point. Now political, juridical, and economic power are no longer link to kinship structures. The household becomes the family unit, which assumes all tasks of socializing small number of children—who, moreover, are planned. Burdened with the responsibility of bein more symbolic than ever, the biological father surrenders his preeminent position to the mother. Sh in turn, as the new center of the family, takes the place of the nurses of old. (Paradoxically, then, a origin substitutes for a replacement.) Intimacy and education tie the few children in the family parent imagoes and eclipse the law of exogamy (which Freud interpreted as incestuous itself, if I transference). In order to be able—indeed, in order to wish—to become mothers or fathers, Lessing virgins dream of a Father and Goethe's youths dream of a Mother. The phantasm of the Fami obscures exchange that occurs between many families (which culturalizes them).

In the process, infantile sexuality—which previously was just as public as it was unexamined-becomes worthy of mention in the first place. The nuclear family becomes a complex relay the produces the children's mobile and fragmentary sexualities through records [*Aufschreiben*] made from the standpoint of the conjugal norm. The separation between parents and the world of childhout enables loving mothers and fathers, pedagogues, and psychologists to store the children's declaration of love to the authors of their days. There results, especially for mothers, a microhistorical architectural that drills family romances into children as their own "experiences." Children become individual who interpret—instead of the accidents of birth and race—"developments" and origins "within themselves according to the rules of "reflection" and hermeneutics.

This coupling—of sexuality that derives from cultural coding and of speech that, when it involves self-declaration and self-interpretation, goes by the name of "poetry"—is to be investigated by mean of discourse analysis. Neither social psychology, which presupposes that the discourses in question have already emerged, nor psychoanalysis, which presupposes the sexualization of children, can analyze how such a link (and nothing else) is bound to texts (and nothing else). In terms of discour analysis, Romantic poetry is the effect of a semiotechnics that made the conjugal family matriline around 1800. The recoding itself was enacted by Novalis's novel, *Heinrich von Ofterdingen*; the effects were articulated in the works of Clemens Brentano, Friedrich Schlegel, Ludwig Tieck, Achi von Arnim, and E.T.A. Hoffmann.

# 1. Matrilineal Recoding

Klingsohr's tale [*Märchen*] has the function of symbolizing the primary socialization that Heinrich mother was supposed to narrate at the end of the novel.<sup>5</sup> In a reverse mirror image, it presents the constellation of figures in the work as a whole. Now the patrilineal pattern of initiation that occurs the *Bildungsroman* is replaced by matrilineal sexualization. For this reason, the tale constitutes discursive event. For the first time in literature, a family appears that *articulates* all the stirrin [*Regungen*] and regulations that occur between mother and child from "the cradle" (338) up to the consolidation of the Oedipus complex.

Thereby, the bourgeois family obeys a mandate. It must take over the task of cultural reproduction for the era of dynastic alliances has come to an end. The bourgeois family unit occupies a position between an "afamilial" and barren underworld of archaic mothers, on the one hand, and a heaven dynasty that has grown sterile, on the other. Dynasties do not produce; they combine: stars and figur—signs and signs. This play of alliances comes to a halt as soon as Arcturus, who "cannot be kin alone" (308f.), loses his wife to the bourgeois family and his only daughter—for whom he cannot find a husband of equal birth (cf. 214f.)—to the slumber of death. The order of alliance literally falls appear in its hypergamy: to make known and put an end to Freya's unredeemed status, the ancient hero symbolic father) must break the phallic sword of the dynasty.

The end of the law that codifies bodies as signs and punishes transgressions of the code by the sword inaugurates the norm that sexualizes children and makes them into individuals. The bourged family does not combine and distribute signs. Instead, it produces: children and imagoes. What is first a nuclear family—"the Father," "the Mother," and their son, "Eros"—is augmented by Sophi who comes from heaven, the Scribe or Death (303), Ginnistan or "Fantasy," and little Fable, whom the Father sires with Ginnistan. Initially, Ginnistan is only a nursemaid for the Son, who makes up for the Mother's lack of milk. Soon, however—and to put matters in Freudian terms—she becomes sensuali [Sinnlichkeit], to which the Mother opposes interiority [Innerlichkeit] and familial cohesion. Familia

eroticism, that is, plays out between the weakness [*Mangel*] of infants (which makes them depende on others), the inability [*Mangel*] of a mother to nurse, and paternal desire: it couples child care as

eroticism. For this reason, the culturalization of children that it effects takes the form of love for the breast—and not of their own mother, but of a Mother (294).

Orality is followed by the mise-en-scène of the phallic-narcissistic stage. In keeping with pedagogy tailored to children, Ginnistan makes the sword fragment that the Father has found—and the Scribe archived—into a toy.<sup>6</sup> The splinter becomes a magnetic snake that phallically extends to the North; that is, it rouses "Eros" for the future beloved, Freya. Eros himself, in this phallic gam suddenly becomes a youth. The phallus, then—which is synonymous with the name "Eros"—mea becoming the object of desire for a/the Mother. This inducts the precocious youth into prematu oedipality: into a round dance [Reigen] of heterosexual pairings that cycles through all combination between Father and Son, Mother and Nurse. First, Ginnistan abducts Eros into the bedroom; however she obeys a wave from Sophia and replaces sensuality with tenderness. The "quiet embrace" (29 between the Mother and Eros, which echoes an imaginary dyad, steers the desire of the Father back Ginnistan, so that the agent prohibiting incest simultaneously affords an example of its transgression And because the desire of speaking beings is the desire of the Other (Lacan), the example arouses forbidden desire in the Son. On the orders of Sophia, the Mother and Ginnistan have to exchange forms so that he "will not be led into temptation" (296). Unlike the gesture of the wave, however, the prohibition is violated although—and because—it is articulated. Since "all barriers are there only be overcome,"<sup>7</sup> they sexualize the Mother, who was "quietly embraced" previously. The act uttering the prohibition creates, in the first place, what it declares unattainable: the imago ("gestalt Mother.

of all female imagoes. "Fantasy," then, is not merely the unconscious fantasy of the author; symbolizes the sexual rite of initiation itself under the conditions of the nuclear family. The path reproduction must be staged before the eyes of the speaking being; it does not follow instinct, be fantasy. The infant—whose senses and motor skills are still disorganized after a painful and premature birth—achieves the social identity function [*Einheitsfunktion*] of "I" only when others inscribe it with phantasms and present a deceptive image of integral corporality beforehand. The scenario Ginnistan offers a historical variant of the mirror stage Lacan describes: her gaze and desire steemed Eros's eyes onto the prefiguration [*Vor-bild*] of unity that he does not possess. He "thanks" her "with thousand delights [*Entzücken*]" (300) for sexualization. Hereby, the Mother, Ginnistan, and Freya—achieved the sexualization in the s

well as natal and "target" families—become confused.

Accordingly, the "Fantasy" of Mother, writ large, stages a play that steers the infantile wish that "Eros" from the image of the nurturing-washing Mother—by way of a "forbidden thrill [*Rausch* (305)—toward the future image of amorous union with Freya. In this process, Ginnistan plays the page

constitutes the very basis of a new Golden Age. Unlike traditional fairy tales, which simply end wi hierogamies, Klingsohr's narrative subordinates the couples—Eros and Freya, Arcturus and Sophi and the Father and Ginnistan—to Motherly Love [*Mutterliebe*]. Because there is no room for Eros mother among the couples, Sophia—the Heavenly Mother—promotes her to a position where, prese in absence, she stands at the origin of the entire system; that is, the Mother becomes the Mother All, including figures who have "other mothers." All the characters drink from her ashes in the baptismal ritual; after the fact, this inexhaustible beverage makes up for the Mother's lack of milk at for the pains the children experienced in the process of birth. With delight [*lustvoll*], they feel the

The end of the tale consolidates the child's sexuality, which has been produced maternally:

The children's love for each other is love from and for the Mother. The Universal Mother [*Allmutter*]—continuously giving birth, heightening sensation, and producing phantasms of incest—takes the place of the Symbolic Father who formerly distributed his seed among the children's love for each other.

generatio continua from the Mother, who "underlies" all marriages in the form of imaginary ince

the races [*Geschlechter*] and generations. Accordingly, the correlate of the Mother's ascendancy is the elimination of the Scribe (i.e., Death), the sole figure the tale fails to assign a place in the find tableau. His textual archive is done away with so that the incestuous nature of the new norm we remain a "secret" to the precise extent that it stimulates (ongoing) orality. Hereby, the Moth becomes the signified for all sounds that are made: "her presence" (315) is felt in the amorowhisperings of the endogamous couples. Orality and the poetry of discourse become one and the same

#### 2. The Voice of the Mother and the Poetic Individual

Matrilineal recoding follows and celebrates the rules of communication in a culture that "invermotherly love for infants." The coupling of orality and poetry stems from a psycho-pedagogy that since Locke and Rousseau, has prescribed that mothers themselves should nurse and speak to the being without language (*infans*) in their charge. At the end of Klingsohr's tale, the matrilineal are fatherless siblings/couples sing and whisper instead of performing a speech act that would promit loyalty, and the "milk-blue stream" (300) of the Mother herself replaces that of the Nurse. The narrative events take contemporary critiques of the unmotherly mothers of old literally:

[They] fulfill these duties, and with exactness, but they do not go beyond them; they neither sing nor speak to the child; they not seek to awaken its senses; they do not have the intention of developing the sensations it has through . . . the inciteme [agaceries] of maternal tenderness.  $^{11}$ 

The center of the nuclear family—the Mother—becomes the relay point for a new kind productivity, which rouses the senses in threefold manner: to individual perception, to sexuality, are to aesthetics. That Romanticism considers poetic discourse to be individual expression and the bear of elementary sensuality derives from the communicative matrix formed by a nursing, loving, as speaking mother and an infant. Drinking at Ginnistan's bosom, Fable gives thanks for the "unbreakable thread" that "seems to wind forth from her breast" (314) and makes a pure idiolect poetry. Likewise, Brentano's Godwi nurses at the breast of his beloved as the "source of all sustenance and voluptuousness [*Nahrung und Wollust*]"—"all the power of the word, all the magic of poetry."

Matrilineal recoding changes the status of literature. The poetic function posited by Roman Jakobson—previously a matter of the autonymy [*sic*] of cultural symbols—becomes phatic in natural Accordingly, in *Heinrich von Ofterdingen*, the "secret word" (or signifier) *Mother* replaces "number and figures" (344) and in so doing opens communication between "lovers." As Heinrich Bosobserves:

While to classical thought the institution of signs rendered possible human communication, it is now the very fact that m communicates with man which will define the signs. 13

Just as the speech prescribed for new mothers, because it produces linguistic competence in the fir place, shares no positive content, poetry itself becomes a play of sounds [*Lauten*]. That it "speaks order to speak"<sup>14</sup>—as Novalis puts it elsewhere—brings back the intransitive quality of the initistication of communication. Sounds melt with nature; noises murmur and whisper with the matern voice, which induces harking [*horchen*] and not hearing [*hören*] in the infant. The matrix of mother lullabies—which take the place of less complicated methods of quieting children—gives rise, at the border between speaking and sleeping, to a new lyricism that has existed ever since "Wanderer Night Song," by Goethe.

To be sure, humanizing [hominisierend] speech in order to make (infants) speak had alway occurred. Only now, however, was it bespoken—that is, discussed. Herder derived "the I" from learning to feel [Empfindenlernen] at the mother's breast, and "the knowing and feeling of the human soul" [Erkennen und Empfinden der menschlichen Seele] from acquisition of language in the infant.

Such psychologizing of discourse displaced the ontogenetic thresholds of what—and who—can addressed [*Besprechbarkeit und Ansprechbarkeit*]. Rousseau, in turn, considered self-consciousne the effect of complete alphabetization, <sup>16</sup> and Brentano's traveling student even recalls how he read the first sounds from his mother's lips. <sup>17</sup> Bespeaking initial speech makes it worthy of mention in the first place. It opens space for the free play of little geniuses who arouse admiration, not by performing speech acts that are binding but through toying with sounds [*Lautspielen*] and infantile words. <sup>18</sup> Course, it is mothers who protect and promote the dreams and dream narratives of their poetic children against the incursions of prosaic or evil fathers. <sup>19</sup>

With this displacement of the threshold of socialization, a parameter of discourse that is corpore (and not digital) won power over mute bodies. Voice transformed into the *mythos* of a theory of lynthat discerned "the secret-filled depth of human spirit and poetry"<sup>20</sup> in its murmurings; likewise, whispered originary truth to a linguistic science that explored Indo-European languages as a family-and investigated "language" in general (instead of letters as sounds). The celebration of the voi amounts to the rejection [*Verpönung*] of writing: the voice's presence and individuality deny that absence and the symbolism of the signifier. In Klingsohr's tale, Fable—who sings—unseats are replaces the Scribe (295, 308). Similarly, Brentano's *Chronika des fahrenden Schülers* begins with mother who teaches her infant to sing and pray, and it ends with a siren whose book lures a youth faway, into erotic ruin.<sup>21</sup>

In poetry [*Poesie*], the poet [*Dichter*] becomes another. If, as Julia Kristeva has claimed, Wester

and the Other—the speaking poet and the mute woman<sup>22</sup>—Romanticism marks the moment where the former becomes a childish individual, and the latter a mother. Henceforth, "the dear woman exists" a "mother" who addresses her words when she talks; she does so, "as everyone knows," in order "make the speaking being . . . speak."<sup>23</sup> Instead of being defined by the binary code of sex, the poet defined by his matrilineal individuality. Klingsohr's tale depicts the poet in Heinrich as "little Fable that is, it does not portray him as her half-brother. This is also how the possibility of female poet arose: Goethe left the "aristeia" of mothers"—the blind spot in *Dichtung und Wahrheit*—for Bettin Brentano to write.

literature translated the conjunctive hierogamy of Oriental texts into a disjunction between the Oriental

If poetry repeats the voice that has sexualized its speaker, then its utterance already contains the eroticism invoked by what is uttered. If it *reproduces* what words merely *represent*, no word can read where it originates. Poetry is an origin as omnipresent and as hidden as the Mother in Klingsohr's tal a vocal shadow that the words cast yet never can express directly. Tracking the sexuality that inhabit it as a voice, poetic discourse generates the very thing it claims it cannot say. Such positive feedback between speaking and sexuality occurs in the chapter "Devotion and Jest" [*Treue und Scherz*] Schlegel's *Lucinde*, where the eponymous character—who is called "a child," after all—is enjoined "caress" a "motherly" beloved<sup>24</sup>; another instance is the eroticizing confession of incestuous sexuality that Medardus makes as a scribe in *The Devil's Elixirs*, by Hoffmann.<sup>25</sup>

# 3. Hermeneutics of the Origin and the Norm

According to standing ideas, sexual matters came to penetrate literary discourse to the extent the bourgeois society prohibited their expression. Foucault demonstrated that the opposite is the case Sexuality is an effect of discourses. To affirm that its origin is unspeakable is to call forth discours about it—which, because they are sexualized themselves, can never end. Sexuality, then, function within a machinery that makes bodies speak and incorporates them into a new organization of power.

and knowledge. In contrast to cultures that let live and make die, our culture—and only our culture-

has transformed into "society" [Gesellschaft]: it "makes live" and avoids killing [macht das Lebe und läßt das Töten]. Planning conditions of and for life encompasses fields that did not pass in record under the law of Sword and Alliance. Moreover, it produces and stores knowledge the Aristotle deemed impossible: understanding what is individual [das Wissen von Individuellem Accordingly, "man" represents a recent invention in epistemological terms. "He" becomes a "subject (in the double sense of the word) only through knowledge that declares "him" subject to the condition of life governing "him" and, at the same time, the master who can recognize and change the conditions. Since 1800, literature and the human sciences have treated "phenomena of our being the actually turn out to be us, since they condition us—and we them—each in turn." The concept of sexuality represents one of many such instances of empirical-transcendent

doubling. It relates bodies to a force of production that both precedes them and at the same time derived from them. Without end, knowledge cycles between sexual origin, where the "human being (in general) is produced, and the individual, whose origin seems to be unique. The dichotomy between law and transgression transforms into reciprocal reference between the norm and individual deviance. This gives rise [zu Wort bringen] to new situations of communication and hermeneutics: on the original hand, rituals of confession and recollection, and on the other, analyses of the "Unconscious." The discursive events presume that sexuality voices the truth about us—which we cannot express when we articulate the truth about it, which it cannot speak itself.

Klingsohr's tale presents [konstruiert] this transformation of knowledge and power. It leads from

juridico-political culture into the realm of familiality, sexuality, and productivity. The tale

incestuous norm involves transgressing the law of old, and it culminates in installing the human being on the throne. Eros ascends as "the new king" (314), yet his rule is paradoxical: he reigns only insoft as he is subject to a maternal origin which, for its part, only has "presence" to the extent that it come to power in Eros. The individual *is* its history. The text reaches back to the cradle and forward to the Golden Age. Thereby, it transfers the ancient myth of the ages of the world [*Mythos der Weltalte* into a logic of production: when the goal of the Romantic triad is achieved, human beings "dwelt (315) in temples; their sexual productivity is one with physical-chemical nature and organic life.

fashion. Thereby, it erects a dispositive that other works of Romanticism can cycle through anamnestic and asymptotic ways. The maternal origin—which the tale names and at the same timplaces within the figures' interior lives [Innerlichkeiten]—becomes both the historically "sunkermovens and the goal for endless hermeneutic explorations. Following this shift from simultaneity in temporal profundity, the originary Family dwells within the Individual as its secret. Romantic work do not, like courtly romances, affirm genealogical identities through a succession of parents' at children's lives. Instead, they posit identity by means of an empirical-transcendental folding of the individual. As the process unfolds, however, it reveals just how much the sexualized family servinstances of power and knowledge.

Tieck's "Eckbert the Fair" offers a direct continuation of Klingsohr's tale. Both works transfer the

The tale performs the matrilineal recoding of characters/figures in simultaneous and transpare

into endogamy. Whereas Novalis locates incest at the end of the narrative, as codification that occur through Mother Sophia, Tieck makes it the unthinkable beginning of events, which is on (re)discovered later. Eckbert and Bertha have always already had the same father and been siblings-except that this fact is decoded only at the very end, by a witch, who is herself the vanishing point fall the childless couple's phantasms. The Witch is a Mother who can display both female and matraits, and therefore dominates the patrilinearity that the narrative preserves genealogically.

conjugality of the fairy-tale form, which Klingsohr's predecessor and model, Goethe, had preserve

The same also holds on the level of events in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents whom Bertha's father has given her, an illegitimate child. The dominant party is the foster father, where the same also holds on the level of events in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale. A single witch replaces both foster parents in the tale.

wants to raise Bertha only for work. Bertha, however—like the heroine of "The Elves"—flees into fairy-tale world that the foster father's word(s) cannot reach. The world of childhood is one of the phantasms that derive from socialization in the nuclear family; here the distinction between adults at children<sup>27</sup> is reproduced in the wish to stay a child forever<sup>28</sup>—a matter that remains a phantast because the children fall prey to an unsymbolized Mother. Just as Novalis equates childhoot "development" that occurs without parental intervention and "education" that the father "has be entirely in the hands of the mother" (326), the Witch dominates the "small family circle" consisting Bertha, the dog, and the bird. Accordingly, Bertha—their "daughter"—cycles through pre-oedip sexualities. The animals, as "well-known friends,"<sup>29</sup> become narcissistic mirror images because Mother coordinates [*inszeniert*] identification with them. Here differences are so slight that love cabruptly turn into paranoia. The bird—which lays eggs containing pearls and sings a song who

"words are constantly repeated" like dream poetry and lullabies<sup>30</sup>—displays both anal and oral traits Likewise, in Achim von Arnim's "Isabella of Egypt," the dyad between the parentless Bella and witchlike foster mother produces narcissistic doublings such as the Golem Bella, anal beings liberaskin [Bärnhäuter], and phallic ones like the gold-finding Mandrake [Alraun] (whose marriage concludes in thumb-sucking). These worlds—the grotesque one and the fairy-tale one—both are an have productivity. Bella's lover, a ruler under the conditions of early capitalism, prefer polymorphously perverse and productive sexualities to the love of, and marriage to, Bella. Similarly in Tieck, the fairy-tale bird makes possible what Bertha "only dreamed of in childhood": to best of the father's) "wealth" on her foster parents—the measure by which they had evaluated her are found her lacking. Regression to the archaic Mother, then, is what enables the child to fulfill the mandate of productivity that the discourse of others has instilled [einfleischte].

Like her act of theft and her flight from the Witch's house, Bertha's narrative about events subject to [*untersteht*] the discourse of others. Only for the sake of intimacy, whose norm is the Family, does Bertha tell parties other than Eckbert about her childhood. Beings possessed interiority [*Innerlichkeiten*] who think that they "share themselves entirely [*sich ganz mitteilen* when they recall their origins embody the compulsion to repeat a situation of infantic communication: time and again, they speak about the family circle in order to integrate strangers in

it as "friends."<sup>33</sup> At the same time, however—and in line with the operations of the mirror stage-narcissistic identification transforms into paranoia. Eckbert murders the man who has heard Bertha confession, and he flees the party who has heard his own confession of killing because he fears the "misuse" of a "confidence [Vertraulichkeit]" that he himself has produced.<sup>34</sup> Communication the only intensifies feelings and reproduces the intimacy of nuclear families is just that paradoxical. Novalis's novel, it entails eliminating a writer (the Scribe) for whom endogamy would still mean endogamy, and in Tieck's tale, it entails the murder of witnesses who might make the phatic speech the endogamous couple into a public "text" capable of transmission.

from childhood that escaped her: the name of the dog that had been her playmate. This item inexplicable knowledge makes the man a member of the Family—indeed, it makes him the incarnation of the Witch. In the idiolectal name "Strohmian," the maternal point of origin [dimitterliche Ursprung] catches up with the girl who has fled and confessed. "A letter always arrives its destination." With a word that proves meaningless as a signifier, the Mother—in Romanticism-signals her status both of being the origin and of commanding speech. The phantasm is pathogenic and

The matter without precedent, however, is that hermeneutics of the Family addresses the ve instance of power whose initial speech it interprets. Bertha's auditor mentions, in passing, a detail

lethal: Bertha suffers a hysterical fit and dies.

The same thing befalls her brother and husband. The course of flight from confession and murder-

which is meant to erase the traces of confession and murder—leads straightaway to the Other, who Eckbert can neither murder nor flee because she gives chase and deals death herself. The Wite reveals that all parties who have heard the fugitives' confessions were incarnations of her, and the Eckbert and Bertha are siblings. Her genealogical discourse makes words fail Eckbert [i genealogisches Wort macht Eckbert das Wort verwirken]: mad and in the throes of death, he hears the voices of Mother Nature and his own phantasms melting into one. He could not have so much "suspected [ahnden]" incest, because language has always already commanded him. Indeed, named him in the first place: "Eckbert" and "Bertha" are half homonymous. "One is only ever love with a name [On n'est jamais amoureux que d'un nom]." Spellbound to their family through Christian and pet names, those who interpret them meet with death—death that occurs through wor alone. A victorious Mother speaks first and last.

Matrilineal recoding, then, has the function of extracting [entreissen], from its products, the wor

in so doing, generates the particular form of individuality which Romanticism deemed productive. When father confessor Trezvirent tells Parzival of a dream that was never revealed to him, I inscribes the youth into the Symbolic. Naming a forgotten [entfallen] name, however, performs the function of individuation because a family's memory [Familiengedächtnis] "spills" what it former declared secret. To ascribe meaning to the words and events of childhood to the extent that they a ("objectively") insignificant means making the family into the archive of criminological clues as sexological norms. It is not important whether the recollection of forgotten details from childhood affirms guilt or denies it. It is itself a discursive event, and only the interiority that it has generate can call it a faculty [Vermögen] of its own. When interiority speaks, a culture speaks—one the accords the Family the production of all "meaning" to the same extent that other functions vanish. The matrilineal family becomes a relay for transmitting knowledge and power. The compulsion

it has beaten into [einfleischen] them. It is a machine that generates admissions and confessions—an

confess—which ties Bertha to infantile sexuality, and sexuality to a mother—is no fairy tal "Mademoiselle de Scudery," by Hoffmann, continues Tieck's fairy tale in the framework of the institutions of Law and Psychology. The series of murders in Paris that undoes the holiest of bondsthat is, once more, that of the Family<sup>41</sup>—escapes the torture of the ancien régime. In contrast, who manages to get behind them is a speech act that answers for deeds forbidden by law. What escapes the established conception of truth are individual and unconscious motivations, which prohibit verdices.

based on deeds alone, as well as productive aspects of criminals that promise future improvement as utility. Accordingly, the jurisprudence of Enlightened Absolutism decides to have the accused confest—without chains or witnesses—to a female writer who counts as a mother to him. Who Mademoiselle de Scudery recognizes a child she once cradled, the psychology of crime is born. The psychological account is itself familial. Once more, a mother has encoded what a mother

turn decodes. The goldsmith Cardillac—whose identity the accused man concealed, as if out of lo

for a father—has robbed his patrons and customers and stabbed them to death. He has done so in ord to repeat a prenatal scene. Cardillac's mother, while pregnant with him, was seduced by the sight jewels presented by a nobleman she had previously rejected—an embrace that lasted forever becaudeath befell her lover. Now the son "embraces" and murders noblemen as they make their way assignations with their mistresses. The newly minted pervert eliminates the libertine of the ancient régime because he unites criminality and productivity. Jewels, as the object of the mother's desired.

From childhood on, Cardillac has plied his trade/craft [*Handwerk*] as an art. The jewels the moth desired—as the phallus of a lover (and not of her husband)—led Cardillac to identify with her desired Consequently, he embraces as a lethal mother. Matrilineal, then, are a craft that undoes border

entail fetishism of the same.

between estates and a crime that does not occur simply for gain. The eccentric [Sonderling]—f whom the law makes no provisions—becomes the norm, and this entertains no relationship wi repression whatsoever. The primal scene, perversions, and matrilineal art both are and enab juridical, psychological, and aesthetic forms of individuation. A culture that claims to be able to sa how a "narrative" [Erzählung] told by a mother makes her child productive can optimize the choice profession without invoking the order of estates. That said, it does well to have the mouths of "wi men" (as in *The Serapion Brethren*) offer instruction about the power of primal scenes—which it the confirms through the ears and writings [im Ohr und Dichten] of wise mother confessors.

### 4. Romantic Texts and Knowledge of the Soul

of rhetorical invention [*Rede-Erfindungen*].

"The doctor is a second father confessor," one of Hoffmann's many personal physicians exclaims to princess—who has reserved the sexual secret of her hysteria for priests. The alliance between the nobility and the church, whose statutes view bodies only in terms of blue blood and sinful flesh, yiel to the alliance between family, psychology, and medicine, which investigates the "putty" [*Kit* sticking together "body and soul" the individual and sexuality. *The Devil's Elixirs* describes endogamous family that brings forth eccentric souls [*Ausnahmeseelen*] and artists, revealing their and its—productivity orally to "ingenious" psychiatrists and monks who cannot read genealogic texts. Only in the newly established madhouse, and not in the royal dungeon, can knowledge obtained about knowledge that has been bought at the price of incest.

When literature becomes family hermeneutics—that is, when it investigates the sexualization children and the hysterization of women in confessions, autobiographies, crime stories, and novels the soul [Seelenromanen]—it has the same address as psychology. That makes psychoanalyt readings of Romantic texts possible, and tautological.

Displacing the threshold of addressability onto the mother-child dyad makes authors and characte "psychoanalyzable" in the first place: Freud's decodings of infantile sexuality begin exopoetical with Goethe's *Dichtung und Wahrheit* and endopoetically with Hoffmann's "Sandman." A fortiori the connection between author and characters becomes possible only when discourses [*Reden*] are referred to individuals and not to systems of symbols. In this manner, the appearance results that biographic explain texts—even though familial relations [*der Familiarismus*] in the one simply double those the other.

Psychoanalysis inhabits the same space of discourse that invented and implemented the power

primary socialization. It is only on this basis—as is the case for Cardillac<sup>45</sup>—that text an interpretation coincide. Deciphering imagoes of the nuclear family in texts and the discourses the constitute them is merely a matter of rediscovering the sediments of codification that, around 180 ascribed a meaning to the Family and especially to the Mother—a process that Freud considered "paramount importance" for the "whole" of "later life."<sup>46</sup> At the same time, however, sexualization subject to biotechnologies and forms of knowledge that made the Family into the "mother" of a imagoes in the first place. In *The Devil's Elixirs*, incestuous wishes—which are forgiven and the archived in monasteries—are aroused by portraits of the ancestral mother [*Ahnmutter*] that these san cloisters display. Likewise, when Heinrich's natal family is depicted in Klingsohr's tale, parent imagoes split between sires and scribes, sensuality and tenderness, only to be correlated, allegoricall to psychic faculties (338). It follows, then, that the multiplication of parental imagoes represents the stratagem of a kind of psychology that forms bodies through images and makes them into addressab souls. When Freud excavated such a process of image production from Hoffmann's "Sandman," I abandoned literary study along the lines of hermeneutics and empathy [*Einfühlung*]—but not the space.

If pre-oedipal sexualization constitutes a program and the Oedipus complex represents a staging "fantasy," then they are subject to a discourse [einem Reden] and not to a desire. In order to function Romantic texts presume that objects of transference be spoken and heard; after mothers at psychologists, psychoanalysts join in. That hides the productivity of sexualizing discourse fro exegetes. Psychoanalytic approaches to literature read Romantic texts as expressions of forbidded wishes and as compensation for social constraints. However, the joy that psychoanalysis has in such discoveries conceals a double blindness. An "individual" is assigned wishes that are actual technologies of socialization [Sozialisationstechniken]. Likewise, "society" is assigned prohibition that are, in fact, obsolete. It is not the ancient law of the Symbolic Father—to which Freud reduced a forms of infantile sexuality—but rather the Norm that governs the texts. It contains positive figure that collaborate [mitschreiben] in the production of productivity [Produktion von Produktion] at extend invitations to enthrone the same fantasy that already wields power.

Finally, a trait of the psychoanalytic method of decoding is itself tautological. The search of conditions that constitute "the human being"—which at the same time this being makes—renews are prolongs the empirical-transcendental folding that has already occurred in Romantic texts. Who Klingsohr's tale posits matrilineal sexualization for the public *Bildungsroman*—splitting and displacing family imagoes in the course of representing it—the work erects the hermeneut dispositive that Freud's *Interpretation of Dreams* transferred into the scientific sphere. Even under the changed parameters that make the articulation of Romantic texts possible and disintegrate the transcendentalism—because writing has replaced the voice, the signifier the signified—interpretation remains a matter of the interplay between the latent and the manifest, the spoken and the unspoke and "fantasy" and "reality."

Yet discourses have no depth wherein their substance might lie [*in der ihre Sache läge*]. They a surfaces—the juxtaposition of familial coding, maternal memory, poetry, and psychology arour 1800. Here, in intertextual space without shadow or shade, is where the philology that Nietzsch discovered could operate: the philology of rhetorical inventions.

# Nietzsche (1844–1900)

So you think you can tell Heaven from Hell.
—Pink Floyd

The name of "literature"—and its theory—emerged alongside a public sphere that discourse reasonably on culture, and alongside a philosophy that recognized an epistemological subject behindliterary works. Nietzsche identified this constellation and brought about its disintegration: withdrew fiction from philosophical judgments that concern truth, and he introduced a conception the public sphere whose element is not reason but the production and consumption of media. For a that, the subversion he performed has affected literature itself (Artaud, Benn) more than its study.

Uncoupled from recognition/knowledge [*Einsicht*], literature entered relations with corporeality at power. Taking away the mandate of representing the ideas of Reason—or indeed, Absolute Spirit itself—meant passing beyond the borders that Kant and Hegel had imposed on both the productive energi of the body and on violence [*Gewalt*]. Nietzsche's literary-theoretical fragments articulate a aesthetics of production that recognizes no limits to creation and destruction. It replaces authority psychology with the physiology of the artistically creative body, the theory of effects and affects aesthetic education with the semiotics of sensory media, the philosophy of literary history with the genealogical analysis of discursive instances, and transcendental hermeneutics with philology.

#### 1. Language, Fiction, Truth

Any project of philosophical aesthetics must, first and foremost, determine the relationship betwee philosophy and its object: art. Nietzsche did so by theorizing language as rhetoric. He placed literatu and philosophy alongside each other on a field to which they both belong as forms of articulate language. This pragmatic-linguistic radicalization of Kant's critique of metaphysics undid the ve distinction that had made it possible for philosophy to set the knowledge of things above literal discourse made to specific addressees—that is, it undid the difference between Concept and Metapho According to Nietzsche, all words are metaphors in a double, and literal, sense. First, they make nervous stimuli—which do not correspond to a thing but to a corporeal relation—into sounds; second they transmit these sounds to an addressee. The first instance of transfer has no priority over the second: the differentiation between stimuli is learned for the sake of others—indeed, consciousnes itself is "only a means of communicability [Mittel der Mitteilbarkeit]" that has "developed exchange" (Nachlass III 667). Rhetorical figures illustrate the matter clearly: a synecdoche like "sai (instead of "ship") names a feature that stands out to communicating parties; it does not name the "thing itself" (Rhetoric § 3; Collected Works V 298f.).

As an "artistic transfer" (*Truth* § 1, III 315) from one medium to another, language expunges the ideas of Wholeness, Truth, and Authenticity. "There is no such thing as an unrhetorical 'naturalnes of language to which one might appeal. [. . .] *Language is rhetoric*, for it wishes only to transmit *dox* not *episteme*" (*Rhetoric* § 3; *Collected Works* V 298). The origin of linguistic rhetoric is no significant—indeed, it "originates" in an act of replacement; rather, its function is important. Rhetor constitutes a form of elementary mnemotechnics. It operates as a machine for selection by setting an environment that is memorable and ready-to-hand—one that, nevertheless (or for this reason), he

no calculable utility. Rhetoric, which was a regional doctrine of art in antiquity, becomes universal and "man," that "inscrutable animal [das nicht festgestellte Tier]" (Beyond III § 62, II 623), become one with the "drive to create metaphors" (Truth § 2, III 319). Nietzsche's effort to define [bestimme literature as language ultimately performs a reversal: language itself is literature—the fabrication fictions.

Indeed, for Nietzsche, the scope of fiction extends so far that it changes status. That, in the fin instance, deception means truth and simulation insight/knowledge follows from the passage language to writing and concepts—which represent two further "technologies" of semiotic selections. By "jumping over" most words (*Beyond* V § 192, II 650), reading transforms verbal matter in "thoughts." Consequently, only the philologist still "reads words" at all (*Works and Letters* V 268. Thoughts and concepts—as "residues of metaphors" (*Truth* § 1, III 315)—subsume a verb multiplicity, just as words subsume a swarm of sensations. In this way, the second selective operation as if it were a primary function, erases the reference to the body that the voice has in speech. The accounts for Nietzsche's inimical relationship to writing (which separates him from the grammatological inheritors). Modern book culture rejects and eliminates embodied rhetoric techniques—what, *per antiphrasin*, we call "ancient literature" (*Greek Literature* III § 1; *Collecte Works* V 209ff.). Accordingly, the modern *cogito*, in its state of disincarnate transparency, rests comething that remains unthought; its claim to knowledge is belief in grammar, whose tropes parrots and forgets (*Nachlass* III 577).

It would appear, then, that Nietzsche's theory is still inscribed in the matrix of transcendent thinking: as the rehabilitation of language and rhetoric against Reason, which is hostile to the philosophy would be the recollection of what thinking does not think, on the one hand, and the critique of this oblivion, on the other. Ever since Herder, the originary linguistic productivity of mankind he counted as the "unthought," which manifests itself in poetic speech and ultimately yields conceptual discourse. However, Nietzsche leaves such transcendental anthropology behind in two ways. First of all, production neither occurs in a mythical space where signs and referents are one, n

does it take place within a subject oblivious of what it has created (and creates). Instead, language and fictions number among the many and disparate events of corporeal being. Their lack of "truth does not lead theory to skepticism or positivism, but to Ariadne: "the path of the body" [*Leitfaden d Leibes*]." Secondly, the deception and forgetting that are called "truth" and "insight/knowledge" a not sluggish figures whose aporias reflection might resolve. If the systems of signs necessary for li—instead of merely giving rise to interpretations [*Auslegungen*]—are already interpretation themselves, then no act of interpretation can reveal the "transcendental signified" underlying them Accordingly, Nietzsche's philosophy abandons the principle of critique and sides with the powers the

the 'true world' finally became a fable" (*Twilight* IV, II 963). Following the path of corporeality, philosophy becomes physiology, and by reinterpretarions, it becomes genealogy.

inscribe and erase signs through the act of interpretation [*auslegend*]. It begins the ruse-filled game naming *and* performing fictions—turning interpretation against interpretation, and rewriting the rhetoric of concepts as concepts of rhetoric. Regional concepts of literary theory (e.g., fiction, fable interpretation) achieve the operative and strategic status of not just describing but also enacting "ho

#### 2. On the Physiology of Aesthetic Media

The Birth of Tragedy from the Spirit of Music—the first and last closed "book" that Nietzsche wrotenames the link between physiology and genealogy in the title. A literary genre is declared born as body. For all that, it takes two to conceive—and by extension, to give birth; here, matters differ fro

affairs of personal constitution. Physiological aesthetics disarticulates the unity of how both Art at Concept are conceived. A single origin is replaced by an "opposition that the shared word 'art' on seems to bridge," which is "tied" to aesthetics in the same way that "generation depends on the duality of the sexes." Inasmuch as it is sexual in nature, aesthetics cannot yield "logical insight" (§ 1, I 21) To express what is at issue, mythical names—"Apollo" and "Dionysos"—are required, as well as physiological parallel: Nietzsche presents the opposition between the visual arts, on the one hand, at acoustic-gestural arts, on the other, as corresponding to the states of dreaming and intoxication Dreams produce entoptic images that appear to the sleeper as defined shapes; intoxication produce sounds, rhythms, and dance figures, which emerge and vanish endlessly.

Following Schopenhauer, Nietzsche assigns dream to the realm of "representation," and he assign intoxication to a desire that he and his forebear both call "will." The senses and the arts function neither as epistemological capacities that synthesize manifolds of perception, nor—as historians of a would have it—as canvases that imitate nature, nor, finally, as physiological filters that select relevant stimuli. The priority of ecstatic states over conscious perception activates specific modes production:

Apollonian intoxication keeps the eye stimulated above all, so that it receives the power of vision. Painters, sculptors, epic po are visionaries *par excellence*. In the Dionysian state, on the other hand, the entire system of affects is roused and intensified, a so it discharges its means of expression all at once. (*Twilight* IX § 10, II 996)

Senses that are endogenously stimulated give rise, in dreams, to a hallucinatory "world of seeing"; a state of intoxication, they produce a "world of hearing" (*Untimely* IV § 5, I 389). They form, physiological but not in technical terms, media in the modern sense. Media escape the standards knowledge: only materiality counts—the conditions of emission and reception, and the frequency signs. In the Apollonian state, "the extreme calm of certain intoxicating sensations" creates the illusion that the images are autonomous, detached from the body that produces them (*Nachlass* 1785); in the Dionysian state, the tempo of semiosis increases until all signs are eclipsed by the nonsignifying body.

From its inception, modern aesthetics has traversed this double meaning. That is, ever sin

Baumgarten, who coined the term, the doctrine of the beautiful has also been a matter of the sense Nietzsche, therefore, as Heidegger demonstrated,<sup>5</sup> was continuing a tradition. In contrast to he predecessors, however, he cancelled the senses' reference to knowledge/insight, which has hierarchized them and placed their point of culmination in the eye's immaterial receptivity. Who sensory media operate autonomously, sight loses its priority. Translated into the opposition between the Apollonian and the Dionysian, the pairing of the beautiful and the sublime changes state. Whereas Kant had declared that the beautiful can be taken in, and that the sublime defies any subjective. Apollonian *opsis* forms only one part of a process of sign production whose paradigm acoustic and gestural. Nietzsche's integration of the Dionysian into the theory of art puts an end to the reign of representation.

The matter is evident in Nietzsche's relationship to Schopenhauer. The equation between music are

will, on the one hand, and the coupling of the other arts and representation, on the other, had prompte the latter to affirm that music is the "representation" and "imitation of a model [*Nachbild ein Vorbildes*] that itself cannot be immediately pictured." But if one seeks only incitement to dance music, one escapes the aporias of aesthetics conceived in terms of *mimesis*: "Aesthetics is nothing be applied physiology [. . .]. And so I wonder: what is it that my entire body wants of music in general For no soul exists" (*Nietzsche contra Wagner* II, II 1041). The end of representation also puts an entire to aesthetic psychology. The properties and intoxication reduce the "soul" to a "spiritualized eye, ear, etc. (*Works and Letters* II 255). Thereby, both the representations that occur and the subject where th

experiences them disappear as well. That is to say, the two concepts that sustained aesthetic discour in the nineteenth century vanish:

The whole opposition (which even Schopenhauer still uses to divide the arts as if it were a criterion of value) between subjective and the objective does not belong to aesthetics at all . . . since the subject . . . can only be conceived as the enemy of a not as its origin. (*Birth of Tragedy* § 5, I 40)

For a discourse of the media-producing body, the subject itself becomes a mere "medium Physiology, instead of humanizing the arts, equates their seeming masters—human beings—wi "images and artistic projections" that refer to a producer within consciousness [diesseits described Bewußtseins]. Such decentering of the subject—which amounts to an appearance [Scheinbil produced by scattered affective tensions—displaces the method of aesthetics and the site of art.

Access to arts that are produced by a subject cannot occur by means of reflection:

Our whole understanding of art [*Kunstwissen*] is fundamentally altogether illusory because, when we know, we are not one a identical with that entity that affords itself, as the sole creator and spectator of that comedy of art, an eternal pleasure. (ibid.)

Aesthetic "knowledge" derives from fixing borders that the body has always already transgress when, in one, it produces *and* enjoys media. Aesthetics had been defined as a judgment of taste (Kartor as "contemplative observation" that does not seek to "call forth" works but rather "to recogni scientifically, what art is" (Hegel). Nietzsche deprived such "public" conceptions of knowledge as education of their franchise [*entzieht* . . . *das Wort*]. He marked the displacement that, historically, let to the mediated public sphere. Not for nothing is *The Birth of Tragedy* dedicated to Wagner, whose medial *Gesamtkunstwerk* "no longer speaks the educated language of a caste" (*Untimely* IV § 10, 428). Nor is it for nothing that talk of the Apollonian—which is "fundamentally nothing more than a image of light cast on a dark wall" (*Birth* § 9, I 55)—sounds like a theory of film avant la lettre.

Nietzsche's decentering of consciousness refers the theory of art to the relationship between culturand bodies. Unconscious production provides its historical a priori—the site from which Nietzsch and psychoanalysis advanced their claims. Freud formulated, on the model of the dream, ho unconscious desire and the law of culture [Kulturgesetz] achieve compromises in the rhetoric complexity of texts. Literary fantasy animates—with replacements and sublimations—a scenar whose only rule is the universal law declared when familial associations [Familenverbände] we founded. Accordingly, the Oedipus complex permits works to be inscribed within a representation scheme—that is, to be interpreted textually and in terms of content; it also enables one to analyze that author individually—that is, to locate him in the conflict between the normal and the neurotic.

Nietzsche, however—in notes he made late in life—also formulated the Apollonian on the model intoxication. Intoxication does not yield representation—a scenario—and it rejects hermeneutic Because the dream uncouples desire and corporeal motorics, it forms an open system: "psych motoric induction" (*Nachlass* III 754) carries it from body to body. Accordingly, it exceeds—and no just endopsychically—"all family life [*Familientum*] and its venerable statutes" (*Birth* § 2, I 27); openly injures the norms of the public sphere and communication. Correlated with psychosis as conspiracy, <sup>10</sup> art undoes the opposition between the normal and the pathological. It proceeds fro collective and forbidden bodily techniques [*Körpertechniken*]: the sexual and alcoholic practices Dionysian revelers, the narcotic activities of initiates at Eleusis, and the St.-Vitus dances performed

#### 3. On the Genealogy of Literature

Genealogy, for Nietzsche, names the process of reading history as series of prohibitions are transgressions, struggles and tensions. 

11 The Birth of Tragedy is the result—and deployment—

during medieval epidemics (*Birth* § 1, I 24). For this reason, transgression—both as the praxis and

the contents of art (*Birth* § 9, I 55–60)—belongs to the way culture itself functions.

combat in and about discourse. In the struggle between the Dionysian and the Apollonian, sound are image, and words and meanings, the unity of literature vanishes along with the unity of its medium. Here, Nietzsche inscribes, into discourse, the split that linguistics will later make between "signified and "signified." Unlike Saussure's taxonomy, however, his position "sides" with the signified stressing the innumerable and suprasegmental elements of language: intonation, rhythm, speed delivery. All that "fades away" [verklingt] when conceptuality emerges, literature expresses [brinzur Sprache] (Collected Works III 229).

The medial definition of literature subverts both the signified, understood as the integral meaning of words, and the idealistic poetics of semantic "content"—which vanish in the immortal parodies the Faustian idea and Wagnerian materialism that Nietzsche stages. Literature means taking a communication [Kommunikationaufnahme]; consequently, it is regulated by bodily performance [Redemomenten]. Nietzsche accepts the classical triad of genres—epic, lyric, and drama. He reject however, the dialectic between subject and object involving normalized acts of narration, selexpression, and dialogue (Hegel). Instead, processes of assuming-power [Bemächtigungsprozesse]—whereby the Apollonian and the Dionysian take the stage in a literal sense [das Wort im Wortsing ergreifen]—constitute the trinity.

In Homeric epic, the Apollonian overcame pre-Greek states of ecstasy and, on the dismembere bodies of Titans, erected an Olympus of illusion and images. Epic poetry stands as a "monument of victory" and does not represent the naïve beginnings of literature—as Schiller held (*Birth* § 3, I 31f Greek lyric, in turn, heralds the return of Oriental cults. Sound conquers image, and "desi [*Begierde*]" (§ 5, I 36) runs through all registers "from the whispering of inclination to the bellowing of madness" (§ 6, I 43). Such suprasegmental registers of the voice designate neither a subject nor name, but rather the Dionysian body.

Nietzsche does not simply assign the two genres to Apollo and Dionysos; instead, they exist in

play of difference that subverts dichotomies.<sup>13</sup> Epic images are bounded only because of the counterweight provided by what is measureless; conversely, lyrical *melos* finds expression only after translation of "dream scenes" (§ 5, I 37) that occur neither in images nor in concepts. When Heidegg conceives works of art as reciprocal [*gegenwendig*] relations between world and earth,<sup>14</sup> he continuate this nondialectical tension that Nietzsche posited: works are beautiful in keeping with forces that a not reconciled so much as made to bend under a yoke.

The third genre exercises the greatest force by harnessing vision and intoxication. Nietzsche—in

move that scandalized philological contemporaries such as Ulrich von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf-derived Attic drama from the dance, music, and dithyrambs of Dionysiac revelers. His claim, that the Doric word *drama* does "not mean 'to do' at all" but rather refers to a hieratic event (*Case* § 9, II 927 contests Aristotle's definition of tragedy on every point. Drama, according to Nietzsche, is *mimes* only in the archaic sense of the word: as dance, <sup>15</sup> it does not imitate action but rather *is* action. Who seems to be represented—the mythos of heroes—is hallucinated by a chorus that techniques inducing ecstasy have made productive. The duality of protagonist and antagonist incarnates the solution hero of dithyrambs: the god they celebrate is "Zagreus"—"dismembered body" (*Birth of Tragedy* 10, I 61).

Nietzsche's genealogy of drama interprets neither content nor form; it describes the "that" of it emergence. The community of worship is producer and spectator in one: ecstasy transports it members into the chorus, and it exalts the chorus into the god whom the transfigured community turn beholds. This circular process does away with the poetics of effect and affect [Wirkungspoetik] a separate matter. Tragedy does not purify one of affects (Aristotle), nor does it ennoble them in compassion (Lessing):

One can disprove this theory in the most cold-blooded fashion: namely by measuring, by means of a dynamometer, the effect o tragic emotion; and one gets, as a result, what only the absolute mendacity of a systematist can misrecognize: that tragedy is a total [tonicum]. (Nachlass III 829)

The experience of the audience [Rezeption] is a single affirmation of productivity [Produktion which, in tragedy, "still includes the pleasure of destruction within itself" (Twilight X § 5, II 1032 Only when such pleasure requires legitimation do poetics of effect/affect arise. Their emergence-which fixes the borders between the author and the public, between hero and actor—Nietzsch describes as a scene occurring between the last tragedian and the first dialectician. The fact that the author Euripides wrote under the "censorship" of Euripides qua "first great reader" (Greek Literatur III § 1; Collected Works V 218)—who stood, in turn, under the "censorship" of the spectator Socrat—subjected tragedy to a philosophy that equated "true" pleasure and knowledge, to a psychology the calculated the effects of art, and to a poetics of "content" that presumed the existence of a text. As result, language representing concepts took over [Das Wort als Begriff ergreift das Wort]. Socrat dialogue and Platonic discourse put an end to tragedy.

Genealogy, then, describes the emergence *and* the decline of Greek literature. It places it within force field where the death of tragedy coincides with the birth of science. Accordingly, Nietzschez genealogy reads the first philosophical poetics only as polemical gestures [*Kampfschriften*]. Instead practicing science, genealogy uses tragedy methodologically to pose the "problem of science"—upowhich science itself cannot reflect (*Birth*, "Attempt at Self-Criticism," § 2, I 10). Thereby, Nietzschissues a succinct rejoinder to the "end of art" announced by Hegel: philosophical discourse, which declared the matter a truth, in fact made the end occur by announcing it.

### 4. The Type of the Artist and the Production of Signs

In terms of overall design, *The Birth of Tragedy* remained within the discursive space of the nineteenth century: it discussed literature in terms of the system of all the arts—its foundation [*Stiftung*] in Greece and subsequent historical evolution. When the book closed, however, Nietzschanged his approach to genealogy and physiology. Now he started with details.

In this perspective, the distinction between "truth" and "fiction," instead of being a matter settle once and for all, turns into an endless and open struggle. "Culture" names the various means drilling [einfleischen] a soul and spirit into bodies, which subject these bodies to the conditions truthfulness—and sincerity—in discourse [Wahrhaftigkeits- und Ernsthaftigkeitsbedingungen de Sprechens] (Genealogy II § 1f., II 799–801). Instead of legitimating such rules by way of a theory speech acts, however, genealogy focuses on the violence with which they are inscribed. An operative conception of writing proves necessary inasmuch as genealogy entertains inimical relations with alphabetized interiority and exteriority. The question is not what acts of speech say, but rather who programs them. And the answer does not concern individuals, but rather power formation [Herrschaftsgebilde]. Discourses are symptoms—or as Nietzsche puts it, "semiotics"—that reveal the origin, condition, and power of speakers.

As ever, poets represent an ambivalent type. They participate in the bloody task of making bodi hear and obey. Verses provide an instrument that fixes speech mnemotechnically, steers bodic rhythmically, and guards against disturbances in channels of discourse. Inasmuch as hexameter-according to legend—saw the light of day in Delphi (*Gay Science* II § 84, II 94), poets are "vale [*Kammerdiener*]" of priestly morality (*Gay Science* I § 1, II 34). Those who actually speak when poet open their mouths are the *others* who invented the categories that—through the autonym "true" at the heteronym "mendacious"—permit power to be mastered (*Genealogy* I § 5, II 776). At the same time, however, the valets are tricksters. The fact that the rules of discourse object less to what untrue in lies than to what is harmful in them (*Truth* § 1, III 311) admits the possibility of fiction; the

in turn, yields the pleasure of lying at the price of "interiorization" (Nachlass III 418).

Deception needed to be sufficiently drilled in, over the course of generations, so that, ultimately, became a dominant instinct, an end in itself. The fabrications of poets betray their origins in the low orders, where one survives by means of mimicry and breaks with the idea of "character." Poet derived from the pressure for "truth"—just as the flourishing of the arts in Greece stemmed from slavery (*Greek State III 277*). Literature comes into being when the "slave intellect, that master dissimulation, is permitted to celebrate its Saturnalia." It is transgression, which speaks "in nothing but forbidden metaphors" and constitutes a kind of parody that "dashes apart, throws this way at that, and ironically reassembles" the "scaffolding [*Bretterwerk*] of concepts" (*Truth* § 2, III 321). The slave—whose work determines culture, and whose transgressions determine its festivities—that has his model. As much is evident in the Greek word *techne*, which makes no distinction between art and craft [*Handwerk*] (*Greek State III 277*).

Modern theories of production—which celebrate the "dignity of labor" in economic terms (ibid

and the autarchy of works in aesthetic ones—only mask this slavery. "Taken into service" by a alliance between the state and sciences (*Untimely* III § 6, I 330), literature became "propaganda for reforms of a social and political nature" from the eighteenth century on. "The Author" and how "Oeuvre"—which has the task of "generating interest" (*Nachlass* III 509) for his person—both end and *are* the educational system that invented the interpretive essay (*Future* II, III 201), as well as the public that consumed [*auffängt*] literary works in a critical and historical fashion (*Untime Meditations* II § 5, I 242). Nietzsche opposes the "fabrications" (e.g., "Author" [*Nietzsche cont Wagner* IX § 1, II 1056f.]) from which modern literature arose—and not just the way that literature has been viewed in terms of social milieu (as was the case for Sainte-Beuve and Hippolyte Taine). <sup>18</sup>

Accordingly, he describes the way literature functions in a "history of 'education' [Bildung]" this, in fact, a "history of narcotics" (Gay Science II § 86, II 96). In modern times, two complemental social types have emerged ensuring that work and leisure will remain separate: the Romantic article who produces sedatives instead of stimulants, and the philologist, who teaches the young how "cram—the first precondition for robotically performing duties in the future (as a civil servan husband, bureaucratic slave, newspaper reader, soldier)" (Nachlass III 630).

The poetics of "authorship" and "oeuvre" possess an erotic charge: only a sense of shame mak them conceal production as if it were the act of conception itself (*Greek State* III 277). In fact, "on one kind of power" exists, and it "is one and the same in art and the sexual act" (*Nachlass* III 924). In introducing sexuality, Nietzsche banishes *theologumena* from the aesthetics of production. Art is no creatio ex nihilo, but rather erotic invention. For this reason, it is anything but imaginary:

We would err if we rested at its power to lie: it does more than simply make images [*imaginieren*]: it displaces values themselv And it does not just move the "feeling" of value: this lover is worthier and stronger. Among animals, such a condition brings for new weapons, pigments, colors, and forms: above all, new movements, new rhythms, new calls, and seductions. It is no different among human beings. (*Nachlass* III 752)

transformation" (ibid.) produces expression and objectives in the first place. Bataille, a reader Nietzsche, coined the term "expenditure" for this process/event. A "generous [abgebende] are overflowing fullness of bodily vigor" constitutes the "aesthetic state" (*Nachlass* III 535), which is, "to speak, bred into a 'person' in the artist" (*Nachlass* III 715).

Eroticism and art are not restricted to being vehicles of expression or aims; their "power

The positivity of creation [*Schaffen*]—which refuses to be reduced to fantasy—occurs as semios? The materiality of signs links erotology and medial aesthetics. If signs are not based on signifieds referents, nothing and no one prescribes what all can be a sign or the sign of a sign. The artist stands for this unlimitedness. His vigor involves the "extreme acuity of certain senses: so that the understand—and create—a wholly different language of signs, the same one that seems to

associated with certain nervous illnesses" (*Nachlass* III 716). Accordingly, all the arts are language and all languages are media that are their own message, since only the "excessive wealth of the mea of communication [Überreichtum an Mitteilungsmitteln]" defines them. Through two complementa artistic capacities—positing signs in what is, as yet, uninscribed [*im Zeichenlosen*] and reading the there—"languages have their source [*Entstehungsherd*]: languages of sound, as well as languages gestures and gazes" (*Nachlass* III 753). Being an artist is a function of physiological force, for for (i.e., "will-to-power") involves working with differences and producing them, "where otherwise, for normal person, all distinction is lacking" (*Nachlass* III 784). Distinction, in turn, represents the necessary, determinate quality of a data set [*Zeichenmenge*] to signify when signs no longer simp represent something else. During the age when physiologists (Helmholtz, Fechner) identification threshold values for sensory perception, Nietzsche described the production of meaning [*Sinnenproduktion*] in terms of differences and intensities.

For artists, both creating [setzen] and reading signs represent unavoidable and coordinated matter. They cannot not communicate, and they cannot not interpret: "Wanting to say all that is capable signifying [Das Redenwollen alles dessen, was Zeichen zu geben weiß]" and "needing to imitate which already occurs when signs are sensed and represented [das Nachahmen-Müssen, das eine Zustand nach Zeichen schon errät und darstellt]" (Nachlass III 716), generate a positive feedback loop between affects and signs. Such is the effect of art:

All distinct matters, all nuances, insofar as they recall the extreme heightening of force that intoxication produces, retroactive awaken this feeling of intoxication—the effect of works of art is the *arousal of the state of artistic creation*, i.e., intoxication (*Nachlass* III 784)

Instead of idealistically mediating production, works, and reception through consciousness, Nietzsci

short-circuits bodies and signs. Moreover, he holds that encounters with art bypass meaning as understanding and follow the signs themselves: "One hears with the muscles, one even reads wi muscles" (*Nachlass* III 754). Literature constitutes a "mosaic of words, where every word, as a soun as a locus, as a concept, exudes its power to the right and the left over the whole"; therefore, it al forms an economy where a "minimal extent and number of signs" achieves a "maximum . . . energy" (*Twilight* X § 1, II 1027).

Like the works they produce, the arts themselves are correlations of signs. First, they exist on

because of semiotic processes that have preceded them. Contra theories declaring that the en identified in rule-based poetics constitute the actual origins of literature, Nietzsche objects: "Eve mature art has a fullness of convention at its basis: to this extent it is a language" (*Nachlass* III 754 Secondly, different arts—for example, lyric and music—are correlated by acts of instituting sign [*Zeichenstiftungen*]. Whereas *The Birth of Tragedy* called music an "immediate language" the "speaks directly to interiority and comes from interiority," genealogy holds that it was music "angient connection with poetry" that inscribed "so much symbolism" in the first place (Human I

"speaks directly to interiority and comes from interiority," genealogy holds that it was music "ancient connection with poetry" that inscribed "so much symbolism" in the first place (*Human* I 215, I 573). As a corollary, the genealogical perspective holds that ancient, quantitative verse we founded in the optical medium of dance steps—whereas modern, qualitative verse is based in significant (letter to C. Fuchs, at the end of August 1888; III 1314f.). The arts, as they are conventional understood, are historically variable and conventional connections between bodies of signs without any "immediacy [*Unmittelbarkeit*]" (*Human* II 2 § 168, I 940).

Finally, the production of signs collapses the cultural distinction between producers and consume [Rezipienten]. When artists layer and connect semiotic systems, they act as interpreters; conversel interpreters act as artists, too. Failing an *Urtext* to which all interpretations would have to referred in the seminary of the seminar

"forcing [Vergewaltigen], adjusting, abbreviating, omitting, filling-in, inventing [Ausdichter falsifying"—all different terms that parody the notion of essence—become "the essence of a interpretation" (Genealogy of Morals III § 24, II 890). "That unspeakably more lies in what the thin

are called than in what they are" demonstrates the identity of interpreters and "creators" (*Gay Scient* II § 58, II 77f.). Thereby, the very notions of tradition and oeuvre undergo a change. For Nietzsche, the erstwhile philologist, literary tradition amounts to a series of misunderstandings and falsifications, and interpretation yields a strategy that—like all strategies—relies on two tactics: disciplining subjects [*Untergebene*] and combating opponents (*Daybreak* I § 84, I 1067f.).<sup>20</sup>

Such a subversive interpretation of the act of interpretation has a recursive impact on the praxis the newly conceived philosopher. Healed of the philological deficiency of his ancestors, he does n equate understanding with actions performed by a subject because "interpretation itself is a form the will-to-power" (Nachlass III 487). The answer to the question, "Who is interpreting [wer le aus]?" must be: "our affects" (Nachlass III 480). For all that, however, Nietzsche gauges affects on in terms of the intensity and complexity that their semiotic practices create. Their measure aesthetic. Art-creating affect—which makes "existence eternally justified" (Birth § 5, I 40)—operat without reducing complexity: "To depict frightful and questionable things is itself already an instin of power and the majesty of the artist: he does not fear them. . . . Art affirms [bejaht]" (Nachlass 1 784). If, then, the difference between pleasure and pain exists without "fixed norms" (Nachlass 1 873), pleasure turns into a variable that stands open for inventing and naming [Bezeichner Alternately—as the difference between grades of minimal displeasure [minimaler Unlustreize] constitutes a sign itself. With that, art and pleasure escape the aporias of aesthetic systems that, up Adorno, have claimed to be able to identify what pleasure is and can only accept fiction, cruelty, as death in dialectical mediation. Art takes its pleasure [hat ihre Lust] in the "that" of "showing." Trag pleasure admits no negation and no opposite. It lies in the creation of signs itself, which never do not occur.

# Lullaby of Birdland

#### For Mimi

1.

When we entered the highest chamber, he said: "Long ago, with my servant, I spent eight summer days in this room, and I wrote little poem on the wall. I should like to see the poem again. If the day is noted beneath, when this occurred, please be so good as record it for me." Straightaway I led him to the southern window in the room; there, on the left, it stood:

Über allen Gipfeln

Ist Ruh.

In allen Wipfeln

Spürest du

Kaum einen Hauch;

Die Vögelein schweigen im Walde.

Warte nur, balde Ruhest du auch.

Over all the peaks

It is calm.

In all the tree-tops

You feel

Hardly a breath;

Birds are quiet in the woods:

Just wait; soon You will rest, too.

#### D. 7 September 1780, Goethe

Goethe read these few lines, and tears flowed down his cheeks. Very slowly, he drew his snow-white handkerchief from his day brown coat, dried his tears, and spoke in a gentle, mournful tone: "Yes, just wait, soon you, too, will rest!" He fell quiet for hal minute, looked once more through the window into the gloomy spruce forest. Then, he turned to me and said: "Well, let us go!"

Thus Christian Mahr reports how Goethe, on the evening before his last birthday, visited, one mo time, the hunting lodge on the Kickelhahn mountain near Ilmenau. The scene is not just historical. made history, too—literary history. Here an author, near the end of his life, ceremoniously archive his beginnings. To the letter, Goethe follows the rules that in the years around 1800 produced the new author-based kind of text called "literature."

These rules were also formulated in Goethe's Bildungsroman.<sup>2</sup> There one reads of Wilhel Meister's relationship to the poems of his youth:

Up to now he had carefully preserved everything that had flowed from his pen since his mind began to develop. His writings we tied up in bundles which he had hoped to take with him on his journey. [. . .]

When we open a letter that we once wrote and sealed on a particular occasion but which never reached the friend it was sent and was returned to us, we have a strange feeling as we break the seal, our own seal, and converse with our different self as with third person. Just such a feeling it was that gripped our hero.<sup>3</sup>

In the same spirit—as the archivist of his own authorship—the eighty-one-year-old poet ascended the Kickelhahn. "The old inscription was recognized," Goethe notes in his journal apropos of the la journey he has made. The journey fetches back messages to the sender—messages that, unlike letter are not fulfilled when they reach their addressees. They are "literature" in the new sense of the wor and that means that they remain the property of their author forevermore.<sup>5</sup> That said, what is new the division of labor. Whereas the aspiring poet Wilhelm Meister—in order to establish [statuiere his authorship "since his mind began to develop"—must gather and order "the papers in chronologic sequence,"6 Goethe, the old man, can build on the goodness of a geologist: Mahr takes note for hi when a text was written—whose youthful author had already dated and signed it in anticipation.

However, something strange occurs. Just as the archivist Meister experiences a "strange feeling

the autobiographer and "clerk [*Kanzlist*] of his own interior" — which Goethe has become in his o age — experiences a stream of tears that puts an end to the literary anagnorisis. Once more, rereading one's own writings becomes a conversation "with our different self." The reader lends his voice what is written; he repeats and affirms what "Wanderer's Night Song" says. Thereby, he himse enters the chain of beings to whom the verses promise rest: first, the mountains and birds, then the writer, and finally, after fifty-one years, the reader, "too." Through the flow of tears, the archiving the text becomes its return: everything—the view of the summits and the spruce forest, the self address, the silence at the end—it all happens once more, just as the faded pencil lines at the souther window have described, and prescribed.

No one cries at his own words—if only because there is no such thing as "words of one's own Only when someone else has written them does one read and cry. What literary scholars call the "lyrical I" does not exist at all. If the reader is promised calm, then this occurs for a "you." Fifty-or years ago, for the writer, it was no different.

The statement, "I rest," is a pragmatic paradox. No mouth can voice it, because sleep and deal exclude speaking—just as speaking excludes sleep and death. The only exception to the rule is exception: when the magic of animal magnetism enables the dead Mister Valdemar—in Poe's tale of the same name—to hold on to language and to answer the question about his condition by declaring, am dead," he promptly dissolves, in the blink of an eye, into a stinking mass "for which no language has a name." For this mass, the word "corpse" is still a euphemism.

Absence occurs only in speech, but no speech occurs in absence. The verses on the Kickelhal speak of this law. They are discourse about the site that excludes speech—and the site that speece excludes. "Wanderer's Night Song" does not mean that at the poem's end, "even the most restle being—man—must rest." Instead, it means, simply, and without humanist add-ons, that the end spoken speaking beings is at hand [daß es mit den gesprochenen sprechenden Wesen zu Ende geht The one who says that mountains, trees, and animals are mute will fall silent himself—and the means: he will become one with them.

Because it is discourse about discourse and discourse about the end of discourse, the text refers a

its parameters to speaking—both to the speaker and to what is spoken about. Naming a final "breath in the treetops means turning the work into a metaphor of respiration and voice, which are the Real language and make it the sibling of sleep. To say that crepuscular birds "are quiet [schweigen]" mean hearing their song as discourse [ihr Singen wie ein Reden hören], because (as Heidegger observe "only in true speech is it possible actually to say nothing [nur im echten Reden ist eigentlich Schweigen möglich]." Therefore, the poem invokes an acoustic twilight in which the voices of natural and speaking, sounds [Laute] and words, become indistinguishable. The last word—a vanishing [verhallend] "too"—explicitly puts an end to the difference between them. Sounds and speaking metogether in the moment when both cease. At its end, the poem performs what it speaks about: what uttered and the utterance coincide. Any speech that dreams away its difference from sound(s) mutend.

Therefore, it is Another who speaks. Where the text passes from the sounds of nature to the speak who hears them, there appears, in his stead, a subject of utterance [Äußerung] that is a "you" for the implicit speaker-I. A nameless voice enters into play, without which the poem could not exist at all the voice of a promise [Zuspruch], which calls the unspeakable end of speech a "rest."

Emil Staiger once observed that one would destroy "Wanderer's Night Song" by replacing "you feel" with "you notice." One would destroy it even more effectively by replacing "you" with "I." Feel the promise of the Other—a fact to which Goethe's tears bear witness—is the discursive event "Wanderer's Night Song." Because no one can perform the paradoxical speech act of naming his ow

#### sample content of The Truth of the Technological World: Essays on the Genealogy of Presence

- Windows 10 All-In-One for Dummies for free
- download The Art of Communicating pdf, azw (kindle)
- Losers Live Longer pdf, azw (kindle)
- click Attaining the Way: A Guide to the Practice of Chan Buddhism
- download The Task of Cultural Critique book
- read online Cooking for Geeks: Real Science, Great Hacks, and Good Food book
- http://yachtwebsitedemo.com/books/Windows-10-All-In-One-for-Dummies.pdf
- http://serazard.com/lib/The-Art-of-Communicating.pdf
- http://nautickim.es/books/The-Narrows--Harry-Bosch--Book-10-.pdf
- http://www.freightunlocked.co.uk/lib/The-New-Growing-the-Mesembs.pdf
- http://www.shreesaiexport.com/library/Animal-Kingdom.pdf
- http://serazard.com/lib/The-Secret-World-of-Doing-Nothing.pdf