

Vight, Zinca

The Sex Lives of Saints

DIVINATIONS, REREADING LATE ANCIENT RELIGION

Scrues Báitors

Daniel Buyarm Vingirna Burnas Charlotte Fornubert Robert Gregg

 δ complete list of books in the sense is available from the publisher.

The Sex Lives of Saints

An Erotics of Ancient Hagiography

Virginia Burrus

PENN

University of Pennaylvania Press Philadelphia

Copyright Tribung Americany of Pentry Indian Pents will rights traction? Bentry the star function become of themselves in the beauty pages.

10 1 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3

Effet yapmayck cultion 2000

Paramentoj Vidennigol Caurgemedo Pero Paladelpl (n. Peramento ala garaj nost

Diffracy of Congress Cataloging-to-Publication Four.

Sureria Mayoria

The lett five of mixture on somic of consent implemental Veryinda historia, p. 160 (Chromation), Generaling Low America Belly (cc).

 $(S_{i}^{-1}(S_{i}^{-$

Installer Chillegraphic morphismics (p. 1 and holis-

Sec—Original reports—Originally—Platers of each fines in Chalainn Lagues annough frank (1964) Original

97(s.a. Stay)

 $22a + 2c_1 + -ic_2$

49.636.53

Contents

Introduction, Hagiography and the History of Sexuality 1
Catables 1. Paneying Hermits: Sublimation and the Arts of Comande
The Queen Class Paul the Harmat — 24 The Queen Merraga of Malchas the Mook — 35 Harring's Low Langle — 50 Prolongueons Factomers of a Paul — 56 Residing Cash America Winnam — 59
Claurter at Dying for a Life: Martyrdom, Masochism, and Female Proto(Biography 53
Preising Panto 60 Basemenering Manton 69 Conferring Manton 76 Testimany or (Mantonis) Soveical 86 Progeneus of an arrival agraphy 88
Chapter 3, Hybrid Desire: Empire: Sadism, and the Soldfer Saint 1911
Nomeration and Submission in the Lips of America—94 Sulpinus's Passion—209 The Huglographer, the Libringrapher and the Statise—109 Protessing Amidbullettee—122
v hapter a. Secrets of Seduction: The Taves of Hely Farlots 1998
The Land, the Petif, and the Petif. Mary, Nace of Abraham — 1922 Seatment of the Rye, Pelagra of Seatona — 192 Seatified in the Cestote Mary of Egypt — 197 The by of Hadan — 195
Postscript (Catching My Breath) 166

n Contents

Notes 165

Bibliography 199

Index nog

zicknowledgments zis

Introduction: Hugiography and the History of Sexuality

Eroni, ocosoneda ils possibly chon to societto Changes Batanle, Processio Death and Sensua i a

Fig Sex Lives of Saints! What could such words possibly signify? Surely everyone knows that the repression of crotic desire is the hellmark of Christian sanctity, a "sex life" is precisely what a proper saint lacks. At most, escetle cros—encoded as yearning for God—may be seen as the residue of an imperfectly sublimated sexuality. Petter yet: It is a merely metaphorical expression for a purely desexualized love. Worse still, it reflects pleasure derived from practices of self-denial motion in a pathological patron of the pody

, is difficult simply to contradict such winespread and thus all too assily anticipated doubts. Nonetheless, I fine inveelf moved to pursue a different path of interpretation. The weager is at once intellectual and spiritual: might it be possible to take contents longeredge by surprise, to distant its resigned containties, to disturb it with the stirrings of a most introduction love, and thereby to enable a different knowing of both "sex | and "satisfity"?. My fife, shough lightly frome, is not intended to be oxymphonic; ancient Lives of Saints, I suggest, are the site of an exuberant croficism. Resistance to the pervasive mail cooffeinterpretation of hagiography (and of asceticism more generally) is crucial to the excitement—on more conventionally phresed, the "significance"-of this argument. That sanctify can be restried. as an crotic art, that the hely Life carries us to the extremities of animan desire, that (conversely) "erotic experience is possibly close to sunctity" --these are admirtedly queen notions, seductive insinuations, even downlight perverse proposals, in relation to traditional readings of the Lives, whether popular or scholarly, literacy-historical or doctrinal if take the risk of transgressing more than a few cheriahed orthodoxies in the hope of thereby pincovering a theory and practice of croticism that is responsively attuned to the hallowed texts of the Christian past while also remaining unapployetically attentive to an urgent need of the present memorit—namely, to affirm the heliness of a love that is simultaneously embodied and trenscendent, sensual and spiritual, painful and joyous; that may accompass but can by no

Inmediation

means be limited to (indeed, may at points email distribution refusal of) the demands of either biological reproduction or institutionalized matridge; that furthermore resists the reductions of the modern cult of the organit. In the stories of saints who steachastly reject both the comforts and the confinements of conventional coles and relationships (swapping and discording "identities" like so many threadbare cloaks), we may discover not only evidence of the historic transformation of desire but also testimony to the transformative power of cros.

If the interests that impel this work are thus revealed to be broadly theoretical and theological, at once undertiably political and inescapably personal, the approach is first and foremost historical, petroving my own disciprinary orientation. The suggestion that cagiography conveys a sublime act of apoticism rather than a represerve morality of sexuality implicitly raises questions and disrupts assumptions about the position of Christianity in the "history of sexuality"—the by-now conventional label for a wind-reaching scholarly conversation flourishing in the wake of the publication of the first three volumes of Micha, Foucaut's ambitious (and onfinished). Hierary of Semology. Although the subsequent chapters will not a ave closely to an explicitly boulded litter analysis, here at the outset I want to map the larger historical trajectory of my argument by offering a fresh reading of Foucault's own emplotment of Christianity in the history of desire. If Toucaulf's chought provides a promising point of departure, it will also draw me into a broader web of contemporacy discourses of croticism, within which I will subsequently situate readings of the nagiographical tens of late antiquity.

. . .

"The so-called Christian morality is nothing those than a piece of pagan ethics inserted into Christianity. Shall we say than that Christianity aid not change the state of things?" This is the cuestion (following upon an assertion) that Foucault poses for himself in his off-revised and tensingly unfinished attempt to insert Christianity into the history of sexuality. It is also the question on which this present work turns. In respect to sexuality, how did Christianity diange the state of things! What revisions and interpretions in preliant Mediterranean conceptions of crotic pleasure and sexual ethics were introduced with the rise of the church?

The "so-called Christian morality" to which Foucault refers crystallizes in a sacralized monogamy in which sexuality is a means legitimated by its reproductive one, while pleasure (a necessary evil at best) is sheepween by

suspicion. Like Foucault in the cited passage, I am here less interested in the consolication and transmission of such an incipiently exterosemst etnics. in which the christianization of Roman culture did, scinitredly, play an enormously significant cole-than in the simultaneous eruption of a poworbit crosscurrent of associcized eroticism. This "commercrotics," recolenwith "counterpleasures," is arguably not only more innovative, historically speaking, our also more central to Christian thought and practice in the period of antiquity and well beyond, indeed, in the wake of two accades of intensive acholarly focus on ancient Caristian asceticism, the "so-called Christian" moriful morality, characteristically prohibitive, begins to take on the appearance of a reluction concession, an ambivalent by-product of a movement that, for all its immense diversity, was consistently and subversively antifamilial from its very ocginnings. As distorian of Christianity Mark Jordan puts it. "We must recognize . . . that Christian marriage was justified against atalms of virginity (rather than apart from them). It is not clear how far Christian marriage is an alternative ideal and how far it is a derivative ideal"-derivative, that is, not only in respect to Roman cities but also in respect to Christian ascetterant, due to its senacturally dependent and secondary starts. Departing from Foregula's script—perbaps - I would go so far as to propose that there erises within Christianity a distinctive sos eretim that does not so much precine as effectively resist and evade the science security that likewise emerges (derivatively) in late antiquity and eventually collisionates in the production of a modern, western regime of "sexpairty" if it is scarcely an accident, it remains nonetheless also a paradiex, that the authority of Christian tradition has come to be uncuestion ingly aligned with the interests of neteroscrism and "family values." One of the aims of this book is to make that paradox once again pulpable, to explore its tensions, and thereby to begin to tree a transformative theology of cros from the stifling grip of a repressive memity of sexuality.

I say that I am perhaps departing from Toucault's script, because Foucault dimself is, I think, intriguingly ambivalent. For Foucault ancient Christian asceticism constitutes both the matrix of modern "sexualty"—and thus the crac of a still more ancient are come—and, at the same time, an emergent strategy for escaping sexuality's disciplinary power. Christianity—as an ensemble of "techniques" that historically produces "the desiring subject"—is, in other words, at once the problem and the promise. The problem is perhaps easier to spot. Foucault locates the distinctiveness of Christianity in the rise of a "hermomentics of the self" resting on practices of self examination and confession in which "the problem is to discover

Introduction

what is hidden inside the scale in Intertwined are two sets of constraining obligations. "those regarding the faith, the book, the dogma, and the coligations regarding the self, the soul, the heart, are linked together". The political context of such a doubly telling witness is no longer civil but "pastoral". self-examination and confession are structured around relations of total obedience, not to a code of law but to a divine w(i), and the goal is not the sacrifice of the citizens for the city but rather the inputitication of the self-("a kind of everyday death") for the sake of "life in another world"—"a commetation of this world, and of pasself" that is at the same time "a kindof relation from oneself to oneself? In this guise, attribut Christian practices of purifying self-relation are presented as the procursor to "the modern hermeneutics of the seath". The problem for Christianity is not (as it was in classical antiquity) penetration or domination but rather "erection." which is to say, desire itself. I (This uncompromisingly andrecentric foranalation succinctly conveys the pecalstant suppression of the featining in the history of sexuality, amplified in Foucault's own History—a subject to which Ushall recurs (On Foucau its reading, ascente Christianity-whether Augustina's or John Cassian's version?—includes a trajectory of discursing cisculation (a transformation of "sex into discourse"), that eventually intersects, via the seventeenth century confessional, with the modern practice of psycheanalysis "

Having relentlessly exposed the circulation of knowledge, power, and pressure that inheres in such a confessional sexuality, indeed naving virtually equated (modern) sexuality with "power/knowledge?" For cault may appear as Jean Bendrillard charges – to have reneared Himself and his readers cap tive to a totalizing power of his own discresive fabrication. ² Readers less skeptical of concault's argument than Bandrillard may be all the more prone to question whether it is after all possible to escape the iron grosp of this disciplinary regime on which, according to Foucoust himself, our very sense of "self" depends. And if escape is not possible, from what vantage point can "sexuality" be critically engaged? This is the question raised by philosopher Judith Butlay in a sharp interpogation of Foucault's residua--and designally incongrent—antancipatory idealism.18 "We are prisoners of the historical space of nitteteenth-century psychiatry," notes philosopher and historian of acience Arnola Davidson, in a more sympothetic glossing of Poncault's text. The gloss tases on a faint sheen of hore, as Davidson. gives voice to the longing for liberation: "Perhaps there will come a time when we can think to ourselves. How do Hove thees let me count the ways? and no longer that our possible perversion. 215

por and approaches such a possible time to come by a necessarily indirect coute. To long detour" into the past. It is in the course of this determ, I would suggest, that the lingering opposition of "repression" and "liberation" critiqued by Butler begans to be more difectively deconstructed, giving way (plawever ambiguously) to a supversive reperformance of historical styles of self-formation that surface "the possibilities that emerge when the law turns against used and spawes unexpected permutations of itself." tas United herself frames the desired outcome of a radically Foncaultian theory and practice?/ Secraing Note to conside and to question his own subjection to the modern discourse of sexuality that he explores in the first volume, Foregardt describes his genealogical experiments in the later volumes of the Distory of Sexuality as a form of "ascesis," "an exercise of oneself in the serivity of thought". "The object was to learn to what extent the effort to taink one's own history can free thought from what it allently tainks, and so anable it to think differently." He acknowledges the "irony in those efforts one makes to after one's way of looking at things? woncering aloud, "Did mine actually result on a different way of thicking?" Perbaps not and yet something has shifted: "the journey rejuverages things, and ages the relationship with oneself."77

Retracing the path of his own, aready ancient thought, Loudoult thus encounters himself from new angles. In his 1990cy of Scanolity, Christian ity as an iterative technique of asceric self-relation is not only the missing volume—tragically curtified by the author's death—but also the receding frontier of a verunthough; difference, "What is expected" of ancient ascetics, Poucant reminds us in his leavenes," is humility and mortification, detach ment with respect to oneself and the establishing of a relationship with one self which texts toward a destruction of the form of the self. Therein lies "the deep contradiction, or, it you want, the great richness, of Christian technologies of the self-mo truth about the self-without a sacrifice of the self," he proclaims. Therein lies also the "deep contradiction, on it you want, the great ricaness" of Fonesult's positioning of Christianity, and also of his positioning of aimself in relation to Claristianity, I would suggest. Far from leading ineritably to the modern subject of sexuality, the inclent Christian discourse of desire. Foucault insists (verging on inconsistency). If actively refuses the "positive sen" on which the modern subject is attenued, in Christianity sociitice nather than positivism "was the condition for the opening of the saif as a field of indefinite interpretation." Thus, for Foucaut, "the tests of the early church" become, surprisingly, "a way out of sexual ity" is "way out," in other words, of the particular indoorn disciplinary

regime that produces not only the concept of "sexual identity" but also the categories of "heterosexuelity" and "nomesexuality," which are grounded in a rigid binarism of "opposite sexes." Christian ascenicism is, moreoved a "way out," he implies not only or even primarily because it is "pre-modern" but rather pecause it was always already desisting closure, cluding essence.

Among Foucault's earliest "spiritual musters" (paying the way for his subsequent encounter with the ancient ascetics) are his more immediate philosophical prodecessors, notable among them Georges Bataille and Maurice Blanchot: he acknowledges his specific debts to "the former's experience of croticism and the latter's of language, understood as experiences of dissolution, disappearance, denial of the subject (of the speaking subject and the crotic subject). In an early essay honoring Basaille through an approclative engagement of his magisterial tome Ecolism, Foucault is already sketaning a history of sexuality. Here he initially marks the difference between a "denatured" modern sexuality and "the Christian world of fallen bodies and of sind which is linked to the "whole tradition of mysticism and spirituality for which experiences "of desire, of capture, of penetration, of seemed to lead, without interruption or limit, right to the beart of a divine love of which they were both the outpouring and the source returning upon itself²⁰⁸ At the same time. Foucault partly closes the gap between ancient traditions of Christian spirituality and the excessive reaches of modern philosophy: "The thought that relates to God and the thought that relates to sexuality are linked by a common form, ance Superto be sure. but never in our day with as much insistence and difficulty as in Batarlie'. In a rereading of Dataille's intertwined concepts of limit and transgression, For each cocates "croticism" at the transgressive edges of sexuality in "an experience of sexuality which lines, for its own ends, an overcoming of limits to the death of God?" For Foreguetit, the posited "death of God" deavs close to a "negative theology" while also maintaining a critical distance." "Transgression contains nothing negative, but affirms limited being—affirms." the limitless into which it leaps as it opens this zone to existence for the first time," he states, continuing even more paradoxically: "But correspondingly, this affirmation contains nothing positive; no contact can bind it, since, by definitions no limit can possibly restrict it. 11 Transgression, he notes, still tollowing Bathille closely, was "originally linked to the divine, or rather, from this limit marked by the sucree it opens the space where the divine functions."

In medernity Toucant deserves, sexuality has been absorbed by language. He thus finds particular promise in a philosophy that "experiences itself and its limits in language and in this transgression of language which carries it as it did lietaile, to the faltering of the speaking subject "" ("I will go so far as to say that in my opinion, philosophy is also the death of language," writes Buraille, incremening—our also failing—to subside into allone "It is also a sacrike.") In Bouland's early essay, the "philosophy" that is perched at the linguistic limits of the modern discourse of sexuality approaches the "religious proticism" calcbrated by Bataille, it also draws near to the "sacrifice of the soff" that Foncault later discourse in the ancient Christian discourse of subjectivity. ("The caliberate loss of self in croticism is manifest," intenes Bataille. "No one can question it"—a posited limit to inquiry that seems to invite its own transpression.)" Is no "philosophy" nerv invoked by Loneaut not even a kind of "theology" that anticipates his own taltering (unfinished, speaking about Christianity and also a style of "spiritual" self-formation that foreshadows his virtual appropriation of the teamiques of ancient ascerticism?

Foregraff's asceticism has perhaps been nowhere more brilliantly illurefree that in Davie Halperin's Salar Foundth (bounds & Cay Hagingraphy). Declaring that fifte goy was a fucking saint," while at the same time testifying to the dynamics of desire and identification that infuse the authorial inscription of "sanctity" ("Mishel Founds clost mail), "Halperin proceeds in his first essay to demonstrate, via the punctual style of anecdatal illustration, the coherence of thought and practice in the ascetic Life of Toucault. CMs was his speech, so was the manner of Rie" and has his manner of Pic, as his speechfor thus flusebrus recites what is already, by the end of the third contrary, familiar convention [Church History 6.3.6-7].) In a second essay, Halberin effectively refuses the temptations of narrative closure by enacting his resistance to polor biographical accounts (indeed, to the presumptions of "biography" itself) and thereby drafts on open-chaod narrative of his own, a retailing of the Life avowedly fired by passion and takedin locating its claim to a true witness." In all these respects, Halperin follows the dietates—or perhaps rather annulates the highest ambitions—of the hagiographical tradition to which his title teasingly alludes. The Foucault whom he presents is finally not so much "gay" as "queerf proffering less an ideatity than a transformative strategy of desistance to the fixing of identity: "It is from the accentric positionality occupied by the queer subject that it may become possible to envision a variety of possibilities for representing the relations among sexual behaviors, crotic identifies, constructions of gender, forms of knowledge, regimes of commeistion, logics of representation modes of self-constitution, and practices of community." Poucault's "queentess"

is, on Halperin's reading, performed by a corrieval of Greek and Reman styles of self-cultivation. "To practice a stylistics of the self-ultimately means to orbivate that part of oneself that leads beyond oneself, that transcends enself; it is to cuborate the strategic possibilities of what is the most *impersonal* dimension of personal file—namely the arganity to bealize oneself by becoming other than what one is. This is what For each came to see himself as having code all his life." Halperin's Foucault is thus, paradoxically, an ascetic *mann is fount*, "before sexuality" and also before Christianity.

"It is significant that Halperin's work does not develop any of Foucault's reflections on Christian texts," as Mark Vernon notes," Indeed, Halverinappears nature deliberately to elide Londanit's interest in Christianity, even as no represents him as an assertic saint. Jeremy Corrette, in contrast, retraces Halperin's critical reading of Foucault's Diegraphers, most notably James Miller, in an overt attempt to "resenc [Foucault's silenced discussion of Christianity." The suggests that "the stylization of Foucault In Millar's work." to which Halperin is so opposed, unwittingly rests on a particular religious distortion of Foucault." "Carrette cop eya an alternate factionapproaching Miller's work as its negative from which to draw out the contral theoretical issues underpinning Foresult's work on religion." Arguing that Miller has viciously misconstruct domardt not only as a sexual pervert (as Laborini amply demonstrates)11 but also us a daugerous "mystic" courting a "limit. experience" in the crotic practices of sacromasochism. Carrette acknowledges nonetheless that there is insight to be contacted from the twisted strands of this account. The officers especially that allowed thereford a fascinating theological sub-text through the encounter with the exent parde," above ali Bataille (and, through Bataille, Secc). "However, "Foncanit, like Bataille before him, suspends the mystical idea as soon as it is introduced. Foucanit and Botaide are attempting to demortate a new space in diterature with inadocuate old language." Moreover, while "the pleusure from physical pain in martyrdom or religious suffering and 5/31 ... may constitute a parallel event and hold a common denominator in the autfaring body?" sadomasochism and religions croticism cannot be simply identified, nor, he implies, dia Poucault make this mistaka. '

Does Carrette protest a bit too much in his detense of Foucautt even as he also strains to "rescue" Miller's perversely "distorted" insigntal Such complexly textualized ambivalence may be worth unbacking To be sure, prior traditions of religious spirituality should not be *conflated* with more recent philosophies and practices of croticism that similarly seek the sucred in the radical disruption of the subject through a violent traversal of the

boundaries that separate self and other, sacred and profund life and exathpleasure and pain. More importantly neither of these should be confluted with oppressive acts of violence designed to "break" the psyche. At the same time, where modern discussions of Christian ascendism remain unavoidably harmtee by the apecons of a widely discredited "masochism" (associations both typically diamissive and difficult simply to dismiss), a more precise articulation of the rotation between asceticism and sadomsacchistic croftcism would seem to be called for. Foucaut's work (bace Carrette) may indeed, be read as initiating such an articulation, not least through its subtle attunement to the resonance, patrioved via "genealogy," between ancient Christian ascericism and ambiguously socularized medical discourses of desire, particularly at their most excessive, self-transgressive limits. " It is at this point, as Carrette acknowledges, that Fournulf's work intersects powerfully with the prior texts of Bataille, who observes that the experiences of both croticism and sanctity, traversing the boundaries of distorical periods, "have an extreme intensity". . . The saint is not after efficiency. He is prompted by desire and desire alone and in this resembles the coatie $man^{s, \phi}$

The cult for a closer—and less skittishly applogatic—consideration of the relation between sadomasochistic and ascetic croticisms has not, in fact, gone unhecoid. Karmen MacKenerick's Counterfoldments, a work heavily infly suced by both Bataille and contants responds to just such a cell, lend ing considerable philosophical mance to the intuition that there are significant connections to be known providen the lives of ancient and medieval saints and the modern pursuit of feedingerp casares. Chamadeally instantiated in som croticism, an ensemble of practices that about (and thus plans the boundaries between; the most esoteric reaches of intellectual theory and the most inurticulate depths of bodily practice. The coofie pleasures that interest MacKendrick hare presentes that queen our notion of preasure, consisting in or coming through pain, frustrotion, retusn. They are pleasures of exceptional intensity, refusing to make sense while still demanding a philosophical enfolding. This untolding takes odd forms, that of an infinite sof-reflexion or a cupture of language in the very act of description." Not unlike practitioners of sacromespenistic sex, "asceties," MacKendrick suggests, "intensify both the Caristian turn against the body and the incarnate and corpored, astweets of that 'some' tradition, rewalling in their practice the sequetive, estimat demonts of religious practice that radically problematize its disembediment, its hierarchicality, even its misogyry," (MacKendrick, vialike Bataille, perceives the limits of "crotic way"; she notes that gender is "another of the boundaries with which [sym] delights in playing." 10 Prawing attention to the inherent excessiveness of ascericism, as well as its paradoxical carnality, MacKendrick delineates the "movement of transgression by imensification" in which the (matchievable) aim is "the refusal of finitudeexhaustion, and himit—all shrough the body." In and through the extremes not only of self-denial but even of self-murilation, the ascetta, however ambivolently, pursues both pleasure and desire. Citing the argument of literary critic Geoffrey Galt Harpham, she notes that "the ascetic in fact counts temptation": "the ascetic desire for desire, and for tempting objects of desired is strong." Ascetla desire is paradexical, taking pleasure "Noth inits increase . . . and in its own violent denial," to the point that astistaction is "removed from the picture." Thus eres thrives in the repusal of the telesof satisfaction; pleasure is perversely intensified through the prolongation. of paint and worldly power is undermined, even as God's grace is provoked "through a violant defiance," in the "subtle seductions" of asceticism, MacKanarick argues, ** A transgressive eroticism has answer close indeed to sanctity in this perversely reverent (indeed, surprisingly theological) philosophical unifolding of the "commorpleasures."

Harpham's Asiana Impension in Culture and Criticism, to which MacKandrick alludes not only partly anticipates and affirms certain aspects of her argument, as we shall see, but also brings the study of ascetic croffcism outo a specifically literary terrain. Encompassing extended essays en-Athanasius's fourth-century Life of Amony and Augustine's Confessions. Happhatris work closely aligns asceticism with textuality and, more especraffy with namerivity. He locates both ascesia and namerive in the relational. dynamic of temptation and resistance, which he understance as inherent in desire. "Narrative is an ascerical art of desire, an art of temptation doubtee, self-timiting, and self-resisting." Here Hurpham explicitly rejects the notion of desire as percetual (limitless) motion on sheer transgression, underlining instead the dependence of desire on resistance and hence on temptation. Jamptation is sust-inded in panadox; "in temptation, notions of transgression and limit are in force, but have not yet become identical or indivisible." Narrative, as an ascetical act of desire, includes both the temptation of closure and the resistance to that temptation. "All the totalizing operations of marrative operate through resistance to de-totalizing operations; and so waile nurretive can originize a human life, it cannot do so simply or unequivocally, for all its coherence functions are implicated in tueir opposites."**

Narrative thus perallels, or includes, the "process of Caristian selfformation" that Harphani has described carlier which "differed from its

pagain counterpart not only in being more extreme, to the point of saif deformation, but also in being complemented by an activity of self-unform ing 27 Hagiographical negrative can thus by no means be simply identified with the interests of a public subjectivity, for example: "For within its lascinated concentration on the mescurine, harging apply focuses on the docbling and self-subversion of the subject, in which it consclessly discovers gaps or concentrations of desire. In other words, haglography both establishes the masculine program and destabilizes it, feminizing the subject by exposing its enternas of desire and even the 'masochism' of its rigots' Natrative produces both orders of coherence and fincoherence and carnivalization." In angiography, the sexect arbject—the subject itself—is continually deformed, unformed, and reformed in the dynamic of a desiring resistance, a resisting desire. Harpham cape his study with a haglographical tribute to "Saint Foucault" aighlighting the power of Foucault's theories and practices. of resistance, most subtly articulated in his late-and, as Harpham notes, inerensingly appreciative—reengagement with ancient (libristian ascenicism)"

Having returned, with Happnen, to my initial point of department Michel Foucault's evocatively ambiguous placement of Christianity in the nisteary of sexuality. I am also carried to the brink of my own literary historical reading of the counterpleasures at thising the Lives of Saints.

e > 1

Ancient hagiography—a practice of writing integringly revived in contemporary engagements with Foucialt - provides a promising site for excevet ing the charred remains of those crotic theories and practices that once fired ancient Caristian discourse and that continue to smoder and spark at the transgressed edge of western modernity not least in Followit's own life's work. Harpham follows time-hallowed tradition in beginning the history of angiography with Amanasius's Life of Autoug "The master text of Western asceticism is the Life of Authorities' Perversely, I will begin instead with Jeroma's 19th of Paul, written roughly fifteen years later. Pathaps I am thereby resisting the temptation to inscribe closure on the nurrative of langingraphy by fixing its point of "erigin" too securely. His Uncombtedly I am also seduced by Jerome's own perversity. The point is not only that a Church Father noteriorally accused of a unique level of obsession with sex somus a likely ally for a historian of analog Christianity unusually preoccupied with eroticism. More importantly, (crome, a supremely self-conscious writer, attracts an account of hagiographical "beginnings" by stridently insisting on

his own initiative, refusing to be read as a more follower of either Arhana sins or his Latin translator Evagoins. This is a gestine of refusal that other hagiographers will emulate hagiography, constantly reporting itself, is always begrinning again.) Jerome forces us to acknowledge the violence of creativity at work in those writerly acts of terms, recycling—citation, iteration, imitation, ministry, dislocation, translation, accomposition, fragmentation, and recombination—through which the Holy Life is produced and ever again reproduced, never quite the same as before. He refuses to conform to even a norm of his own making, authoring three remarkably different, yet (as I will show) equally "cucery Lives of male saints---Paul, Malanus, Hilarien, whose hagingraphies are the torus of Chaptern. The very astects of these Eterory Lives that have most frequently irritated critics—overt inconsistency, excessive carbellishment, and disjunctive narrativity—are here credited with the success of Japonie's literary-crotic project. Esychoanalyticliterary critic Leo Bersani's understanding of the "shattering of the self" aimed at in certain styles of interruptive and iterative nurvativity (edgily positioned, as it happens, in relation to the theories of both Forward and Harpfram [6] provides an illumining, if not unproblematic, theoretical intertext for such a reinterpretation of knome's hargegraphical couver. At the same time. Personi's revision of Treed's theory of sexuality anables a rethinking of "sublimation" not as the defining enspectoristic of an ambiguonsly repressive asceticism our rather as the condition of sd erotic desire.

lecome also writes of women, and his encomfure of his dear triend Paula will carry us into Chapter 2, where Gregory of Nyssa's fraterral Life of Microsyland Augustine's filial "confession" of Monics are likewise mined for traces of a distinctly "feminine" styling of sanctity. If the men's Lives considered in the first enapter can be read as resistant romances, the earliest women's Lives pivot on the croticized death of a much-beloved subject and cicare closely to the traditions of both martyrology and letters of consolation, penind which lie tuneral specenes of praise and tament. A "womand it seems, must die in owder to get a Life. The clament of masoanism (already conveyed in Bersani's theorizing) is here foregrounded, via the work of both MacKanarick and Lynah Hart, if psychoanalysis, as well as much popular culture, has tanded to perceive women as felas) merely metand mesochists, haspegraphy radically denaturalizes the temining as the unstable and describpowersible site of a decidedly perverse, even attectively feminist mesochistic subjectivity that actively resists patriarchy from within the very structures of misogynistic discourse. Thus the repressed feminine returns, however ambivelently, to the history of sexuality. This chapter hids by opening a

cialogue with Jacques Derrida and Shoshana Felman regarding the position of "woman" as subject of both death and surviva, in testimental literature that straddles the boundary between (male) antobiography and (female) biography

The gendering of the subject of Engiographical writings is not neutralized (as is frequently claimed) but rather intensified, on this reading. It is also rendered remarkably unstable and fluid, as the subsequent chapters further amphasize, repeating (with a difference) the alternation between male and female Lives. The soldler and the harbot exotically creticized figures of hypermasculinity and hyperfermininity respectively, take gender to its extremes of reversibility. Chapter 3, which considers Sulpidius Severus's repeatedly supplemented *Life of Marsia*, ricks up themes from the previous two analyters, suggesting that the soldler saint is not only virtually "queer" but also practically a "woman." The main emphasis, however, is on the disturbingly sadistic strain of violence in the Life, which simultaneously replicates and subverts the explosive pressures of empire, with its pervisive call to "dominate and submit"; the situation of desire within the complex hybridization of late amage. Vegiterranean outure is explored through engagement with (postfeelenful critics Anne McClimeck and Homi Bashaa.

Three Lives of "harlets". Swram Mary, Pengia, and Mary of Egypt are considered in Chapter 4. These somewhat later nagiographies are not only the least overthy marityrial but also the most explicitly "crotic" of the sexts considered. The Uple of Mary of Egypt, in a pleasing symmetry, will return us not only to the desert but also to tenome's Liple of Pauk.) Whereas the harlet saints have consistently been read as repenting of their transgres sive sexuality. Will argue, in contrast, that their sanctity inheres in their correportant—if nonetheless transfigured—seductiveness, Jean Baudrillard's understanding of seduction here provides the major theoretical intervention, read explicitly against its artifeminist (as well as, implicitly, its untifoucaution) grain.

The theoretical educticism of this approach will not, I hope, seem marchy arbitrary. The "queer," the "sademasochistic," and the "sademive" are overlapping (though by no means identical) concepts that collectively participate in a political and intellectual project that was also Feneralits—namely a reconceptualizing of croticism that exercise are thereby varray evades the constraints of modern "segmently," but an everlapped field of theorizing materies, as it has seemed to me, the similarly complex field of countercrotics opened up within ancient naging raphical literature. Before addressing that literature directly, it remains for the object of pit more about

such a posited "connected its". Toosening, without cutting toose from, the intertextual weeve of contemporary scholarship in which I have already situated this work.

Saintly love begins with resistance to the temptations of "worldly" erodicism—resistance not merely to use transact pleasenes of physical intercourse (opening onto a broader realm of tempting sensory deligate) but also to perduring familial and political hierarchies, institutionalized relations of domination and subtriasion tent both structure, and are structured by, relations of sex and geneler. Yet such resistance to cultural norms, aptly coded in contemporary terms as "outer," does not take an anti-exatiaturns proffering the stable satety of a desexualized "agape" in exchange for the firm repression of sexual desire. Rather, it gives rise to an exaberant art of croticism in which the negativity harpoired within resistance is collesed by the radical affirmation of casire also conveyed in realstance. That resistunce to desire should increase desire admittedly presents a perplexing paradost "Whence the power of what seems to be a force of sheer negation, or the pleasure of what sector to be only pain and frustration?" queries MacKenonick, "Restraint and its almeana of intensification; it disciplines the forces of desire so that their expression is both stylized and intensified. Desire is given time to grow its quick release and undoing are prevented." In the process, she suggests, "the very nature of desire" is altered; it becomes a "desire beyond aubicativity." The ongoing, iterative disruption of the subject within the movement of desire emphasized by MacKendrick is, for Bersani, the primary offect of all choticism, revealing fjerossasse as a mode of asysis." 1

Ancient hagiegraphy. I am suggesting, participates in such a self-mortifying juaissines, such a divinely crotic joy, in which the performative "death" of the self becomes the sanctifying matrix of life's renewal—giving rise, in the field of literature, to ever-new Livis. The self that is sacrificed as desire extends "beyond subjectivity" is a self-defined by its constructed isolation or boundedness its approach toward the sterility of stasis." In hely love, "transcendence" does not complete or fulfill the self-rathet as Jean-Lie Nancy buts it, "it cuts, it breaks, and it exposes." Thus, crotic "self-shattering" differs commutically from the "unmaking" of the self-affected by techniques of tortace that *invensity*—rather than *obseque*—the isolation of the subject. As Ellaine bears notes, in terture "the created world of thought and feeling, all the psychological and mental content that constitutes both one's self-and one's world, and that gives rise to and is in turn made possible by language, ceases to exist." The pain indicted in totalizing acts of

oppression, she argues, skuts down the generative processes of subjective transcendence by which numbers continuelly create analytic, self-extending worlds. The agonizing pleasure pursued in croticism, in contrast, measures transcendence to the point that the boundaries of individual subjectivitythe distinctions between the "internal" and the "external"—difectively disserve, Socia dissolution is partial and transient, though its effects may be enduring and even momentous. As Bataille observes (in an important qualification of his own pervisively, even hyperbolically, celebratory rectoric of "sacrifice"). "Continuity is what we are after, but generally only if that continuity which the death of discontinuous beings can alone establish is not the victor in the long run. What we desire is to bring first a world founded on discontinuity all the continuity such a world can sustain." However, if the processes of self-destriction enacted in political forture and eroticiam are thus very nearly opposite, they are also, paradoxically, tightly linked. Indeed, eroticism may be seen to mimic and thereby subvert the "anattering" operations of forture, effecting not a destruction but rather a acconstruction (three also a reconstruction) of arbitrativity, inrough "dissonant displacements" that reconfigure the relations between power and resistance, life and death, body and spirit, by disrepting their oppositional inscription 7: As Naney puts it, the "break" in the subject conveyed in the movement of love his nothing more than a touch, our the rouch is not less deep min a wound." When jouisomer is understood as "a mode of ascesis," the ascente emerges that mow as underodically juggly. "body in paint," disclosing suffering as the vehicle of the ongoing comaking and remaking of wer.ds.*

For the writers of hely Lives, it is God who measures the unfurling capanise of such a sublime cretic admittion. "You need to consider where God is in this, because God's position is a sexual option," quite theologian Markella Altheus-Reid. ""God's position," we might say, is at the ever-receding point where the "object" of desire withdraws and eludes the subject, thereby temporarily dishubting the subject's self-certainty in the "olt of cestatic disposession—a disruption that lives on in the body's memory, as MacKendrick reminds us, enabling "the knowledge, impossible without a subject of a possibility beyond subjectivity." "God inheres in the paradoxical set of self-sacrifice (a sacrifice at once "God's" and "overs") that is the gift of sacrific as a seduction—is mutual and reciprocal inscribing the irreducible detailed in also a seduction—is mutual and reciprocal inscribing the irreducible objectionality of God and creation. As Baudrillere puts it, "One assures God with fairs, and He cannot out respond, for seduction, like the challenge,

is a reversible form. And He responds a mutoped fold by His grace to the challenge of faith. $^{\rm Th}$

Is the God of the countercrotic theology that I am corrieving not close kin to the Gee, of mysticism's "negative theological devices, in the impersonal "sucred" of modern philosophers? Yes, but only insofur as there is a corresponding move within such a theology toward a "negative" or "impersonal" understanding of the human subject. Only, furthermore, insofar as the "negativity" of both God and animan subjectivity marks an abysmal planitude, and "impersonality" is seen as the effect not of the lack but of the extramity of passion, the active suffering of desire through which "personnood" is transcended and exceeded. God is encountered in the bagingraphical texts in the memort when the beloved body traverses the boundary between life and death, in the saint's last, rejoiding breaths, in the disciple's linguing embrace of a corpse that already slips beyond the greep of transical particularity—dissolving into finest cust, mingling with desert same, participating again in the capazious potentiality of the cosmos. Cod is encountered in other such promonts of violent traversals granaftion, and reversibility in the transvestive the transgeneered, the transfigured and disfigured subject, in the astonianing mobility and convertibility of the saint, the pottomless capacity for redical metamorphosis. To God appears (and also disappears) in the movement of love between and beyond persons, in the slide from the personal to the impersonal from the sell to the loss of self, from the discombinators individual to the continuity of all existence. As Bataille pats it, the sacred on the divine-the God also glimpsed in a fregative theology founded on mystical experience" axises in "the revelation of continuity through the death of a discentingous being to those who watch it as a solemn rite," bacredness, grounded in sacrifice, thus aligns closely with croticism, which likewise inheres in the develotion of continuity through the dissolution of separative serves." Indeed, argues Potsille, "all croticism has a socramental character." "Flights of Christian religious experience and bursts of arotle impulses are seen to be part and parcel of the same movement,100 Despite his strident critique of Christianity, Bataille understands his own work on eroticism as "neader to "theology" than to selentific or religious history." (Thus it is that it can be so easily drawn into the citational weave of a work of "religious history" that itself draws near to "theology".

The transpersonal Cool of negative taleology continues, however, to yield intermittently to the shifting play of personifications within the Christian taleological imaginary, in the context of a tradition that has remained, for the most part, not only productively "positive" in its metaphorical

strategies but also persiatently anthropocentric in its crotic fantacies. Though we should not fail to note the fartile caves and springs, describ, forests, and mountaintops, the fauns and centaurs, he hons and she welves harming the borders of the hagiographical texts.) In a recent theological acof self-proclaimed "increasing," Althous-Raid does not negate but rather multiplies the "sexual option" of a personified "God's position," reciting a parodically perverse arctalogy: "God, the Fagget; God, the Drag () neen; God, the Lesblant God, the heterosexim, woman who does not accept the constructions of idea, heterosexuality; Cod, the umbivalent, net easily classified sexuality."41 The theologicals tone is here both vividey ironic and deadly serious. In the incyltable interplay between life stories and theologies, she wonders, four we keep corrying the burden of a theology which ceaves us alone when having sex? 10 The "we" whom Althaus-Reid invokes is a deliberately provocative [but not random or unconsidered] collectivity of transgressively desiring subjects, including lemen yendors without underwear, acculterers, sudomasochiets, and transvestites. Her recounting of the "aexeral stocies" of everyday samts repeats the challenge of hagingraphy—topursue God in the extremities of numan striving. 16

A divine sexual orientation courts transcendence through the risk of transgression; its sublimity for exceeds the bounds established by the concept of a de creticized "sublimation"; its goal is not the chastening of the sexual subject but rather the seduction of salvific graze through the sexuifice of a "self" reified—and thus emembee—in its very "sexuality"; its literary expression refuses the complation of a reductive detachment of historical facts and carnal acts from the othereal flights of fantasy upon which all desire is borne. In the Lives of Saints, we are able to perceive the crucial intersection of credicism and theology. There we encounter no "safe sex" out only tisks worth taking. (That the risks are all two test is evidenced by long histories not only of sexual repression but also of holifical oppression enseted in the name of God's desire. There we encounter no "sexual orthodoxy" but only the continually reperformed this, of historical witnesses testifying passionately to the possibilities of God and of love.

Such theoretical and theological perfections are conveyed by the historical argument that undergines this book. The readings of arcitant texts that follow adhere to a rough chronelogical order. They are not, however, intended to inscribe a narrative of internal development out rather to expose (albeit incompletely) the complex and shifting intertextual weave of a large ancient afterary practice. To the extent that hagingraphy "tends toward

sample content of The Sex Lives of Saints: An Erotics of Ancient Hagiography (Divinations: Rereading Late Ancient Religion)

- read online Raffles and the British Invasion of Java pdf, azw (kindle), epub
- download online Molly Zero online
- read All the Poems of Muriel Spark
- download online \$30 Film School: How to write, direct, produce, shoot, edit, distribute, tour with, and sell your own no-budget DIGITAL movie (Power!)
- http://aneventshop.com/ebooks/Raffles-and-the-British-Invasion-of-Java.pdf
- http://metromekanik.com/ebooks/Teaching-Matters--How-to-Keep-Your-Passion-and-Thrive-in-Today-s-Classroom--2nd-Edition-.pdf
- http://toko-gumilar.com/books/All-the-Poems-of-Muriel-Spark.pdf
- http://studystrategically.com/freebooks/Stolen-Life--The-Journey-of-a-Cree-Woman.pdf