Effective Modern C++

Coming to grips with C++11 and C++14 is more than a matter of familiarizing yourself with the features they introduce (e.g., auto type declarations, move semantics, lambda expressions, and concurrency support). The challenge is learning to use those features effectively—so that your software is correct, efficient, maintainable, and portable. That’s where this practical book comes in. It describes how to write truly great software using C++11 and C++14—i.e., using modern C++.

Topics include:

- The pros and cons of braced initialization, noexcept specifications, perfect forwarding, and smart pointer make functions
- The relationships among std::move, std::forward, rvalue references, and universal references
- Techniques for writing clear, correct, effective lambda expressions
- How std::atomic differs from volatile, how each should be used, and how they relate to C++’s concurrency API
- How best practices in “old” C++ programming (i.e., C++98) require revision for software development in modern C++

“After I learned the C++ basics, I then learned how to use C++ in production code from Meyers’ series of Effective C++ books. Effective Modern C++ is the most important how-to book for advice on key guidelines, styles, and idioms to use modern C++ effectively and well. Don’t own it yet? Buy this one. Now.”

—Herb Sutter
Chair of ISO C++ Standards Committee and C++ Software Architect at Microsoft

Effective Modern C++ follows the proven guideline-based, example-driven format of Scott Meyers’ earlier books, but covers entirely new material. It’s essential reading for every modern C++ software developer.

For more than 20 years, Scott Meyers’ Effective C++ books (Effective C++, More Effective C++, and Effective STL) have set the bar for C++ programming guidance. His clear, engaging explanations of complex technical material have earned him a worldwide following, keeping him in demand as a trainer, consultant, and conference presenter. He has a Ph.D. in Computer Science from Brown University.
So, still interested in C++? You should be! Modern C++ (i.e., C++11/C++14) is far more than just a facelift. Considering the new features, it seems that it’s more a reinvention. Looking for guidelines and assistance? Then this book is surely what you are looking for. Concerning C++, Scott Meyers was and still is a synonym for accuracy, quality, and delight.

—Gerhard Kreuzer
Research and Development Engineer, Siemens AG

Finding utmost expertise is hard enough. Finding teaching perfectionism—an author’s obsession with strategizing and streamlining explanations—is also difficult. You know you’re in for a treat when you get to find both embodied in the same person. Effective Modern C++ is a towering achievement from a consummate technical writer. It layers lucid, meaningful, and well-sequenced clarifications on top of complex and interconnected topics, all in crisp literary style. You’re equally unlikely to find a technical mistake, a dull moment, or a lazy sentence in Effective Modern C++.

—Andrei Alexandrescu
Ph.D., Research Scientist, Facebook, and author of Modern C++ Design

As someone with over two decades of C++ experience, to get the most out of modern C++ (both best practices and pitfalls to avoid), I highly recommend getting this book, reading it thoroughly, and referring to it often! I’ve certainly learned new things going through it!

—Nevin Liber
Senior Software Engineer, DRW Trading Group

Bjarne Stroustrup—the creator of C++—said, “C++11 feels like a new language.” Effective Modern C++ makes us share this same feeling by clearly explaining how everyday programmers can benefit from new features and idioms of C++11 and C++14. Another great Scott Meyers book.

—Cassio Neri
FX Quantitative Analyst, Lloyds Banking Group
Scott has the knack of boiling technical complexity down to an understandable kernel. His *Effective C++* books helped to raise the coding style of a previous generation of C++ programmers; the new book seems positioned to do the same for those using modern C++.

—Roger Orr
OR/2 Limited, a member of the ISO C++ standards committee

*Effective Modern C++* is a great tool to improve your modern C++ skills. Not only does it teach you how, when and where to use modern C++ and be effective, it also explains *why*. Without doubt, Scott’s clear and insightful writing, spread over 42 well-thought items, gives programmers a much better understanding of the language.

—Bart Vandewoestyne
Research and Development Engineer and C++ enthusiast

I love C++, it has been my work vehicle for many decades now. And with the latest raft of features it is even more powerful and expressive than I would have previously imagined. But with all this choice comes the question “when and how do I apply these features?” As has always been the case, Scott’s *Effective C++* books are the definitive answer to this question.

—Damien Watkins
Computation Software Engineering Team Lead, CSIRO

Great read for transitioning to modern C++—new C++11/14 language features are described alongside C++98, subject items are easy to reference, and advice summarized at the end of each section. Entertaining and useful for both casual and advanced C++ developers.

—Rachel Cheng
F5 Networks

If you’re migrating from C++98/03 to C++11/14, you need the eminently practical and clear information Scott provides in *Effective Modern C++*. If you’re already writing C++11 code, you’ll probably discover issues with the new features through Scott’s thorough discussion of the important new features of the language. Either way, this book is worth your time.

—Rob Stewart
Boost Steering Committee member (boost.org)
For Darla,
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Introduction

If you’re an experienced C++ programmer and are anything like me, you initially approached C++11 thinking, “Yes, yes, I get it. It’s C++, only more so.” But as you learned more, you were surprised by the scope of the changes. auto declarations, range-based for loops, lambda expressions, and rvalue references change the face of C++, to say nothing of the new concurrency features. And then there are the idiomatic changes. 0 and typedefs are out, nullptr and alias declarations are in. Enums should now be scoped. Smart pointers are now preferable to built-in ones. Moving objects is normally better than copying them.

There’s a lot to learn about C++11, not to mention C++14.

More importantly, there’s a lot to learn about making effective use of the new capabilities. If you need basic information about “modern” C++ features, resources abound, but if you’re looking for guidance on how to employ the features to create software that’s correct, efficient, maintainable, and portable, the search is more challenging. That’s where this book comes in. It’s devoted not to describing the features of C++11 and C++14, but instead to their effective application.

The information in the book is broken into guidelines called Items. Want to understand the various forms of type deduction? Or know when (and when not) to use auto declarations? Are you interested in why const member functions should be thread safe, how to implement the Pimpl Idiom using std::unique_ptr, why you should avoid default capture modes in lambda expressions, or the differences between std::atomic and volatile? The answers are all here. Furthermore, they’re platform-independent, Standards-conformant answers. This is a book about portable C++.

The Items in this book are guidelines, not rules, because guidelines have exceptions. The most important part of each Item is not the advice it offers, but the rationale behind the advice. Once you’ve read that, you’ll be in a position to determine whether the circumstances of your project justify a violation of the Item’s guidance. The true
goal of this book isn’t to tell you what to do or what to avoid doing, but to convey a
deeper understanding of how things work in C++11 and C++14.

**Terminology and Conventions**

To make sure we understand one another, it’s important to agree on some terminol‐
yogy, beginning, ironically, with “C++.” There have been four official versions of C++,
each named after the year in which the corresponding ISO Standard was adopted:
C++98, C++03, C++11, and C++14. C++98 and C++03 differ only in technical
details, so in this book, I refer to both as C++98. When I refer to C++11, I mean both
C++11 and C++14, because C++14 is effectively a superset of C++11. When I write
C++14, I mean specifically C++14. And if I simply mention C++, I’m making a broad
statement that pertains to all language versions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term I Use</th>
<th>Language Versions I Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C++</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++98</td>
<td>C++98 and C++03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++11</td>
<td>C++11 and C++14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++14</td>
<td>C++14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result, I might say that C++ places a premium on efficiency (true for all ver‐
sions), that C++98 lacks support for concurrency (true only for C++98 and C++03),
that C++11 supports lambda expressions (true for C++11 and C++14), and that
C++14 offers generalized function return type deduction (true for C++14 only).

C++11’s most pervasive feature is probably move semantics, and the foundation of
move semantics is distinguishing expressions that are *rvalues* from those that are *lval‐
ues*. That’s because rvalues indicate objects eligible for move operations, while lvalues
generally don’t. In concept (though not always in practice), rvalues correspond to
temporary objects returned from functions, while lvalues correspond to objects you
can refer to, either by name or by following a pointer or lvalue reference.

A useful heuristic to determine whether an expression is an lvalue is to ask if you can
take its address. If you can, it typically is. If you can’t, it’s usually an rvalue. A nice
feature of this heuristic is that it helps you remember that the type of an expression is
independent of whether the expression is an lvalue or an rvalue. That is, given a type
T, you can have lvalues of type T as well as rvalues of type T. It’s especially important
to remember this when dealing with a parameter of rvalue reference type, because the
parameter itself is an lvalue:
class Widget {
public:
  Widget(Widget&& rhs); // rhs is an lvalue, though it has
  // an rvalue reference type
};

Here, it’d be perfectly valid to take rhs’s address inside Widget’s move constructor, so rhs is an lvalue, even though its type is an rvalue reference. (By similar reasoning, all parameters are lvalues.)

That code snippet demonstrates several conventions I normally follow:

- The class name is Widget. I use Widget whenever I want to refer to an arbitrary user-defined type. Unless I need to show specific details of the class, I use Widget without declaring it.
- I use the parameter name rhs (”right-hand side”). It’s my preferred parameter name for the move operations (i.e., move constructor and move assignment operator) and the copy operations (i.e., copy constructor and copy assignment operator). I also employ it for the right-hand parameter of binary operators:

  ```
  Matrix operator+(const Matrix& lhs, const Matrix& rhs);
  ```

  It’s no surprise, I hope, that lhs stands for ”left-hand side.”
- I apply special formatting to parts of code or parts of comments to draw your attention to them. In the Widget move constructor above, I’ve highlighted the declaration of rhs and the part of the comment noting that rhs is an lvalue. Highlighted code is neither inherently good nor inherently bad. It’s simply code you should pay particular attention to.
- I use “…” to indicate “other code could go here.” This narrow ellipsis is different from the wide ellipsis (“...”) that’s used in the source code for C++11’s variadic templates. That sounds confusing, but it’s not. For example:

  ```
  template<typename... Ts> // these are C++
  void processVals(const Ts&... params) // source code
  { // ellipses

    // this means "some
    // code goes here"
  }
  ```

  The declaration of processVals shows that I use typename when declaring type parameters in templates, but that’s merely a personal preference; the keyword class would work just as well. On those occasions where I show code excerpts
from a C++ Standard, I declare type parameters using class, because that’s what the Standards do.

When an object is initialized with another object of the same type, the new object is said to be a copy of the initializing object, even if the copy was created via the move constructor. Regrettably, there’s no terminology in C++ that distinguishes between an object that’s a copy-constructed copy and one that’s a move-constructed copy:

```cpp
void someFunc(Widget w); // someFunc’s parameter w
// is passed by value
Widget wid; // wid is some Widget
someFunc(wid); // in this call to someFunc,
// w is a copy of wid that's // created via copy construction
someFunc(std::move(wid)); // in this call to SomeFunc,
// w is a copy of wid that's // created via move construction
```

Copies of rvalues are generally move constructed, while copies of lvalues are usually copy constructed. An implication is that if you know only that an object is a copy of another object, it’s not possible to say how expensive it was to construct the copy. In the code above, for example, there’s no way to say how expensive it is to create the parameter w without knowing whether rvalues or lvalues are passed to someFunc. (You’d also have to know the cost of moving and copying Widgets.)

In a function call, the expressions passed at the call site are the function’s arguments. The arguments are used to initialize the function’s parameters. In the first call to someFunc above, the argument is wid. In the second call, the argument is std::move(wid). In both calls, the parameter is w. The distinction between arguments and parameters is important, because parameters are lvalues, but the arguments with which they are initialized may be rvalues or lvalues. This is especially relevant during the process of perfect forwarding, whereby an argument passed to a function is passed to a second function such that the original argument’s rvalueneus or lvalueneus is preserved. (Perfect forwarding is discussed in detail in Item 30.)

Well-designed functions are exception safe, meaning they offer at least the basic exception safety guarantee (i.e., the basic guarantee). Such functions assure callers that even if an exception is thrown, program invariants remain intact (i.e., no data structures are corrupted) and no resources are leaked. Functions offering the strong exception safety guarantee (i.e., the strong guarantee) assure callers that if an exception arises, the state of the program remains as it was prior to the call.
When I refer to a **function object**, I usually mean an object of a type supporting an `operator()` member function. In other words, an object that acts like a function. Occasionally I use the term in a slightly more general sense to mean anything that can be invoked using the syntax of a non-member function call (i.e., “function `Name(arguments)`”). This broader definition covers not just objects supporting `operator()`, but also functions and C-like function pointers. (The narrower definition comes from C++98, the broader one from C++11.) Generalizing further by adding member function pointers yields what are known as **callable objects**. You can generally ignore the fine distinctions and simply think of function objects and callable objects as things in C++ that can be invoked using some kind of function-calling syntax.

Function objects created through lambda expressions are known as **closures**. It’s seldom necessary to distinguish between lambda expressions and the closures they create, so I often refer to both as **lambdas**. Similarly, I rarely distinguish between **function templates** (i.e., templates that generate functions) and **template functions** (i.e., the functions generated from function templates). Ditto for **class templates** and **template classes**.

Many things in C++ can be both declared and defined. **Declarations** introduce names and types without giving details, such as where storage is located or how things are implemented:

```cpp
extern int x; // object declaration
class Widget; // class declaration
bool func(const Widget& w); // function declaration
enum class Color; // scoped enum declaration
```

**Definitions** provide the storage locations or implementation details:

```cpp
int x; // object definition
class Widget { // class definition
...
};
bool func(const Widget& w) { return w.size() < 10; } // function definition
enum class Color { Yellow, Red, Blue }; // scoped enum definition
```
A definition also qualifies as a declaration, so unless it’s really important that something is a definition, I tend to refer to declarations.

I define a function’s *signature* to be the part of its declaration that specifies parameter and return types. Function and parameter names are not part of the signature. In the example above, `func`’s signature is `bool(const Widget&)`. Elements of a function’s declaration other than its parameter and return types (e.g., noexcept or constexpr, if present), are excluded. (noexcept and constexpr are described in Items 14 and 15.) The official definition of “signature” is slightly different from mine, but for this book, my definition is more useful. (The official definition sometimes omits return types.)

New C++ Standards generally preserve the validity of code written under older ones, but occasionally the Standardization Committee deprecates features. Such features are on standardization death row and may be removed from future Standards. Compilers may or may not warn about the use of deprecated features, but you should do your best to avoid them. Not only can they lead to future porting headaches, they’re generally inferior to the features that replace them. For example, `std::auto_ptr` is deprecated in C++11, because `std::unique_ptr` does the same job, only better.

Sometimes a Standard says that the result of an operation is *undefined behavior*. That means that runtime behavior is unpredictable, and it should go without saying that you want to steer clear of such uncertainty. Examples of actions with undefined behavior include using square brackets (“[]”) to index beyond the bounds of a `std::vector`, dereferencing an uninitialized iterator, or engaging in a data race (i.e., having two or more threads, at least one of which is a writer, simultaneously access the same memory location).

I call built-in pointers, such as those returned from `new`, *raw pointers*. The opposite of a raw pointer is a *smart pointer*. Smart pointers normally overload the pointer-dereferencing operators (`operator->` and `operator*`), though Item 20 explains that `std::weak_ptr` is an exception.

In source code comments, I sometimes abbreviate “constructor” as `ctor` and “destructor” as `dtor`.

**Reporting Bugs and Suggesting Improvements**

I’ve done my best to fill this book with clear, accurate, useful information, but surely there are ways to make it better. If you find errors of any kind (technical, expository, grammatical, typographical, etc.), or if you have suggestions for how the book could be improved, please email me at *emc++@aristeia.com*. New printings give me the
sample content of Effective Modern C++: 42 Specific Ways to Improve Your Use of C++11 and C++14
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